

Questionnaire DART0057_w3

Valued Customer
Version unknown
2026-02-23
21:50:09.856000+00:00

Page: consent_pg

This study is being conducted by John Carey and Brendan Nyhan, who are professors at Dartmouth College. We ask for your attention for a few minutes and we thank you for your attention and your responses. Your participation is voluntary and you may decline the survey or withdraw at any time. No information that identifies you will be collected or retained by the researchers, and all of the information we collect will be stored securely. However, any online interaction carries some risk of being accessed. Please contact Brendan Nyhan at nyhan@dartmouth.edu if you have any questions or concerns about this study.

**consent-
required**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you consent to participate in the survey?

varlabel Consent to take survey

required HARD

¹ Yes

² No

⁸ *Skipped*

⁹ *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_2

Page: implicit_page_birthy

**birthyr- Show if not
pdl.birthyr or
int(pdl.birthyr) <
1920 or
pdl.birthyr.last >
months(27)/required**

OPEN INTEGER TEXTBOX

In what year were you born?

| | |
|----------|---|
| pii | False |
| cols | 4 |
| min | 1900 |
| varlabel | Birth Year |
| tags | used_in_US_Omni_Survey_Template, GV_CA, Globalvars_7, GV_US, GlobalVars_7, scripting_template |
| max | 2026 |
| required | HARD |

Page: implicit_page_age

**age-
Show if
0/prompt
once on
skip**

OPEN INTEGER TEXTBOX

What is your age?

| | |
|----------|-----------------|
| varlabel | Age (numerical) |
| required | SOFT |

Page: implicit_page_age9

**age9-
Show if
0/prompt
once on
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How old are you?

| | |
|----------|-------------------|
| varlabel | Age (categorical) |
|----------|-------------------|

required SOFT

- 1 Under 18
- 2 18 - 24
- 3 25 - 34
- 4 35 - 44
- 5 45 - 54
- 6 55 - 64
- 7 65 - 74
- 8 75 - 84
- 9 85 or older
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_3

Page: thanksout if screen_flag == 1

We're sorry, but you do not qualify for this survey. Thank you for your time.

Exit (screenout)

Page: setup_pg if gryphon.interview_type == "test"

<p style="color: blue;">This page is only shown during testing. Respondents will be randomly assigned.</p>

**limit_executive_power_treatment-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Item 3.2 grid row 1: The X is able to effectively limit executive power. (See "The judiciary is/The Supreme Court is/Lower courts are".)

varlabel DART0057_w3 Item 3.2: IMP Items row 1 text treatment

required SOFT

- 1 See text "The judiciary is".
- 2 See text "The Supreme Court is".
- 3 See text "Lower courts are".
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

**item5b_treat-
prompt once
on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Year to show in Rating of US in future (item 5)

varlabel DART0057_w3 Year shown in item 5b treat

required SOFT

- ¹ 2027
- ² 2032
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

**item_17_Biden_Trump_mod_order_treatment-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See Biden_block_mod or Trump_block_mod first (item 17)

varlabel DART0057_w3 Order of items in item_17_Biden_Trump_mod treatment

required SOFT

- ¹ See Biden_block_mod first
- ² See Trump_block_mod first
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

**item_17_Biden_mod_order_treatment-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See justice_Biden_enemies or justice_Biden_favors first (item 17)

varlabel DART0057_w3 Order of items in Biden_block_mod treatment

required SOFT

- ¹ See justice_Biden_enemies first
- ² See justice_Biden_favors first
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

**item_17_Trump_mod_order_treatment-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See justice_Trump_enemies or justice_Trump_favors first (item 17)

varlabel DART0057_w3 Order of items in Trump_block_mod treatment

required SOFT

- ¹ See justice_Trump_enemies first
- ² See justice_Trump_favors first
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

**item_21_Biden_Trump_block_order_treatment-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See either Biden or Trump block first (item 21).

varlabel DART0057_w3 Item 21 Biden vs Trump block order treatment

required SOFT

- 1 See Biden block first
- 2 See Trump block first
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

**item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See either Biden or Trump block (item 22).

varlabel DART0057_w3 Item 22 Biden vs Trump block treatment

required SOFT

- 1 See Biden block
- 2 See Trump block
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_6

Page: setup2_pg if gryphon.interview_type == "test"

<p style="color: blue;">This page is only shown during testing. Respondents will be randomly assigned.</p> <p>Items 22 & 23</p>

**item_22_Biden_target_treatment- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See text about judges, lawyers, or law professors (will only apply if assigned to Biden block) in item 22.

varlabel DART0057_w3 Item 22 Biden block target treatment

required SOFT

- 1 Judges
- 2 Lawyers
- 3 Law professors
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

**item_22_Trump_target_treatment- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See text about judges, lawyers, or law professors (will only apply if assigned to Trump block) in item 22.

varlabel DART0057_w3 Item 22 Trump block target treatment

required SOFT

- 1 Judges
- 2 Lawyers
- 3 Law professors
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

**item_23_Good_Pretti_treatment-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

See either "Renee Good" or "Alex Pretti" in approval_recent_events_grid row 2 (item 23).

varlabel DART0057_w3 approval_recent_events Good vs Pretti text treatment

required SOFT

- 1 See text, "Renee Good"
- 2 See text, "Alex Pretti"
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_7

 Page: testing_item3_pg if
 gryphon.interview_type == "test"

This page is only shown during testing.

Item 3 IMP and PERF grid randomization

IMP grid - Three items chosen in group A (no zero indexing): \$item_3_groupA

IMP grid - Two items chosen in group B (no zero indexing): \$item_3_groupB

PERF grid - Three items chosen in group A (no zero indexing): \$item_3_groupA_perf

PERF grid - Two items chosen in group B (no zero indexing): \$item_3_groupB_perf

Final shuffled list of options shown (no zero indexing) - IMP grid: \$item_3_imp_final_order

Final shuffled list of options shown (no zero indexing) - PERF grid: \$item_3_perf_final_order

Chosen options for IMP grid

Option 1: \$item_3_imp_option_1_index – \$item_3_imp_option_1_text

Option 2: \$item_3_imp_option_2_index – \$item_3_imp_option_2_text

Option 3: \$item_3_imp_option_3_index – \$item_3_imp_option_3_text

Option 4: \$item_3_imp_option_4_index – \$item_3_imp_option_4_text

Option 5: \$item_3_imp_option_5_index – \$item_3_imp_option_5_text

Option 6: \$item_3_imp_option_6_index – \$item_3_imp_option_6_text

Chosen options for PERF grid

Option 1: \$item_3_perf_option_1_index – \$item_3_perf_option_1_text

Option 2: \$item_3_perf_option_2_index – \$item_3_perf_option_2_text

Option 3: \$item_3_perf_option_3_index – \$item_3_perf_option_3_text

Option 4:

\$item_3_perf_option_4_index – \$item_3_perf_option_4_text<p></p> Option 5:
 \$item_3_perf_option_5_index – \$item_3_perf_option_5_text<p></p> Option 6:
 \$item_3_perf_option_6_index – \$item_3_perf_option_6_text<p></p>

Page: item_21_testing_pg if gryphon.interview_type == "test"

<p style="color: blue;">This page is only shown during testing.</p> <p style="font-size:18px; font-weight:bold; color: red;">Item 21 Randomization & Delivered Cases</p> Biden vs Trump block order treatment: \$item_21_Biden_Trump_block_order_treatment<p></p> Biden cases selected (indexes 1-4, 2 shown): \$item_21_Biden_2_random<p></p> Trump cases selected (indexes 1-3, 2 shown): \$item_21_Trump_2_random<p></p> Order of cases (from case 1 - case 7): \$item_21_case_order<p></p>

Delivered cases as single string: \$item_21_label_string<p></p>

Page: testing_item22_pg if gryphon.interview_type == "test"

<p style="color: blue;">This page is only shown during testing.</p> <p style="font-size:18px; font-weight:bold; color: red;">Item 22 variables:</p> Assigned Biden vs Trump block treatment: \$item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment<p></p>

Assigned Biden block target treatment (only applies to respondents assigned to Biden block): \$item_22_Biden_target_treatment (\$item_22_Biden_target_text / \$item_22_Biden_action_text)<p></p> Biden block consequence order (indices): \$item_22_Biden_consequence_order_no_zero_index<p></p> Biden block consequence texts:<p></p> Consequence 1: \$item_22_Biden_consequence_text1<p></p> Consequence 2: \$item_22_Biden_consequence_text2<p></p> Consequence 3: \$item_22_Biden_consequence_text3<p></p> Consequence 4: \$item_22_Biden_consequence_text4<p></p> Consequence 5: \$item_22_Biden_consequence_text5<p></p> Biden consequence text string: \$item_22_Biden_consequence_text_string<p></p>

Assigned Trump block target treatment (only applies to respondents assigned to Trump block): \$item_22_Trump_target_treatment (\$item_22_Trump_target_text/ \$item_22_Trump_action_text / \$item_22_Trump_action_p_text)<p></p> Trump block consequence order (indices): \$item_22_Trump_consequence_order_no_zero_index<p></p> Trump block consequence texts:<p></p> Consequence 1: \$item_22_Trump_consequence_text1<p></p> Consequence 2: \$item_22_Trump_consequence_text2<p></p> Consequence 3: \$item_22_Trump_consequence_text3<p></p> Consequence 4: \$item_22_Trump_consequence_text4<p></p> Consequence 5: \$item_22_Trump_consequence_text5<p></p> Trump consequence text array: \$item_22_Trump_consequence_text_string<p></p>

Page: implicit_page_8

Page: item_3_1_intro_pg

On the next several screens you will see a series of statements related to democratic government. The first group of questions will ask you to evaluate the importance of certain principles or values to democracy in general. Then, you will be asked how well those principles or values are being upheld in practice in the United States. Statements have been randomly selected from a set of 37.

Page: implicit_page_imp_items_grid

**imp_items_grid-
prompt once on
skip**

GRID

How important are these characteristics for democratic government?

| | |
|---|--|
| displaymax | 3 |
| header_alignment | bottom |
| roworder | custom(\$item_3_final_order_0_index) |
| collapse_expanded | True |
| width | 130 |
| varlabel | Important items for democratic government |
| required | SOFT |
| ROWS | |
| imp_items_1- <i>prompt once on skip</i> | \$limit_executive_power_text able to effectively limit executive power |
| imp_items_2- <i>Show if 2 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | Government officials are legally sanctioned for misconduct |
| imp_items_3- <i>Show if 3 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | Government officials do not use public office for private gain |
| imp_items_4- <i>Show if 4 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | Government agencies are not used to monitor, attack, or punish political opponents |
| imp_items_5- <i>Show if 5 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | All adult citizens enjoy the same legal and political rights |
| imp_items_6- <i>Show if 6 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | Government does not interfere with journalists or news organizations |
| imp_items_7- <i>Show if 7 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | Government effectively prevents private actors from engaging in politically-motivated violence or intimidation |
| imp_items_8- <i>Show if 8 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | Government protects individuals' right to engage in unpopular speech or expression |
| imp_items_9- <i>Show if 9 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | Executive authority cannot be expanded beyond constitutional limits |
| imp_items_10- <i>Show if 10 in item_3_imp_final_order/prompt once on skip</i> | The legislature is able to effectively limit executive power |
| imp_items_11- <i>Show if 11 in</i> | The elected branches respect judicial independence |

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt

once on skip

imp_items_12- *Show if 12 in* Government protects individuals' right to engage in peaceful

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt protest

once on skip

imp_items_13- *Show if 13 in* Law enforcement investigations of public officials or their

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt associates are free from political influence or interference

once on skip

imp_items_14- *Show if 14 in* The law is enforced equally for all persons

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt

once on skip

imp_items_15- *Show if 15 in* Universities, businesses, and professional organizations operate

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt independently of government pressure

once on skip

imp_items_16- *Show if 16 in* Law enforcement remains politically neutral and does not favor

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt or support any political party or candidate

once on skip

imp_items_17- *Show if 17 in* Officeholders do not use law enforcement to advance political

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt agendas or intimidate political opponents

once on skip

imp_items_18- *Show if 18 in* Political competition occurs without criticism of opponents'

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt loyalty or patriotism

once on skip

imp_items_19- *Show if 19 in* Elections are free from foreign influence

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt

once on skip

imp_items_20- *Show if 20 in* Parties and candidates are not barred due to their political beliefs

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt and ideologies

once on skip

imp_items_21- *Show if 21 in* All adult citizens have equal opportunity to vote

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt

once on skip

imp_items_22- *Show if 22 in* All votes have equal impact on election outcomes

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt

once on skip

imp_items_23- *Show if 23 in* Elections are conducted, ballots counted, and winners

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt determined without pervasive fraud or manipulation

once on skip

imp_items_24- *Show if 24 in* Voter participation in elections is generally high

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt

once on skip

imp_items_25- *Show if 25 in* Information about the sources of campaign funding is available to

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt the public

once on skip

imp_items_26- *Show if 26 in* Public policy is not determined by large campaign contributions

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt

once on skip

imp_items_27- *Show if 27 in* Citizens can make their opinions heard in open debate about

item_3_imp_final_order/prompt policies that are under consideration
once on skip

imp_items_28- Show if 28 in The geographic boundaries of electoral districts do not
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt systematically advantage any particular political party
once on skip

imp_items_29- Show if 29 in Even when there are disagreements about ideology or policy,
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt political leaders generally share a common understanding of
once on skip relevant facts

imp_items_30- Show if 30 in Elected officials seek compromise with political opponents
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt
once on skip

imp_items_31- Show if 31 in Citizens have access to information about candidates that is
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt relevant to how they would govern
once on skip

imp_items_32- Show if 32 in Government statistics and data are produced by experts who are
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt not influenced by political considerations
once on skip

imp_items_33- Show if 33 in Politicians who lose free and fair elections publicly concede
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt defeat
once on skip

imp_items_34- Show if 34 in Military leadership remains politically neutral and does not favor
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt or support any political party or candidate
once on skip

imp_items_35- Show if 35 in Officeholders do not use the military to advance political agendas
item_3_imp_final_order/prompt or intimidate political opponents
once on skip

COLUMNS

- ¹ **Not relevant**
 This has no impact on democracy
- ² **Beneficial**
 This enhances democracy, but is not required for democracy
- ³ **Important**
 If this is absent, democracy is compromised
- ⁴ **Essential**
 A country cannot be considered democratic without this
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_statements_US_grid

statements_US_grid- prompt once on skip

GRID

How well do the following statements describe the United States as of today?

displaymax 3
 header_alignment bottom
 roworder custom(\$item_3_perf_final_order_0_index)
 collapse_expanded True
 width 130
 varlabel How well do statements describe USA
 required SOFT

ROWS

statements_US_1- *prompt once on skip* \$limit_executive_power_text able to effectively limit executive power
 statements_US_2- *Show if 2 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* Government officials are legally sanctioned for misconduct
 statements_US_3- *Show if 3 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* Government officials do not use public office for private gain
 statements_US_4- *Show if 4 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* Government agencies are not used to monitor, attack, or punish political opponents
 statements_US_5- *Show if 5 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* All adult citizens enjoy the same legal and political rights
 statements_US_6- *Show if 6 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* Government does not interfere with journalists or news organizations
 statements_US_7- *Show if 7 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* Government effectively prevents private actors from engaging in politically-motivated violence or intimidation
 statements_US_8- *Show if 8 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* Government protects individuals' right to engage in unpopular speech or expression
 statements_US_9- *Show if 9 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* Executive authority cannot be expanded beyond constitutional limits
 statements_US_10- *Show if 10 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt once on skip* The legislature is able to effectively limit executive power
 statements_US_11- *Show if 11 in item_3_perf_final_order/prompt* The elected branches respect judicial independence

once on skip

statements_US_12- *Show if 12 in* Government protects individuals' right to engage in peaceful
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt protest

once on skip

statements_US_13- *Show if 13 in* Law enforcement investigations of public officials or their
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt associates are free from political influence or interference

once on skip

statements_US_14- *Show if 14 in* The law is enforced equally for all persons
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt

once on skip

statements_US_15- *Show if 15 in* Universities, businesses, and professional organizations operate
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt independently of government pressure

once on skip

statements_US_16- *Show if 16 in* Law enforcement remains politically neutral and does not favor
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt or support any political party or candidate

once on skip

statements_US_17- *Show if 17 in* Officeholders do not use law enforcement to advance political
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt agendas or intimidate political opponents

once on skip

statements_US_18- *Show if 18 in* Political competition occurs without criticism of opponents'
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt loyalty or patriotism

once on skip

statements_US_19- *Show if 19 in* Elections are free from foreign influence
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt

once on skip

statements_US_20- *Show if 20 in* Parties and candidates are not barred due to their political beliefs
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt and ideologies

once on skip

statements_US_21- *Show if 21 in* All adult citizens have equal opportunity to vote
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt

once on skip

statements_US_22- *Show if 22 in* All votes have equal impact on election outcomes
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt

once on skip

statements_US_23- *Show if 23 in* Elections are conducted, ballots counted, and winners
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt determined without pervasive fraud or manipulation

once on skip

statements_US_24- *Show if 24 in* Voter participation in elections is generally high
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt

once on skip

statements_US_25- *Show if 25 in* Information about the sources of campaign funding is available to
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt the public

once on skip

statements_US_26- *Show if 26 in* Public policy is not determined by large campaign contributions
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt

once on skip

statements_US_27- *Show if 27 in* Citizens can make their opinions heard in open debate about
item_3_perf_final_order/prompt policies that are under consideration

once on skip

statements_US_28- *Show if 28 in* The geographic boundaries of electoral districts do not systematically advantage any particular political party

once on skip

statements_US_29- *Show if 29 in* Even when there are disagreements about ideology or policy, political leaders generally share a common understanding of

once on skip relevant facts

statements_US_30- *Show if 30 in* Elected officials seek compromise with political opponents

once on skip

once on skip

statements_US_31- *Show if 31 in* Citizens have access to information about candidates that is

once on skip relevant to how they would govern

once on skip

statements_US_32- *Show if 32 in* Government statistics and data are produced by experts who are

once on skip not influenced by political considerations

once on skip

statements_US_33- *Show if 33 in* Politicians who lose free and fair elections publicly concede

once on skip defeat

once on skip

statements_US_34- *Show if 34 in* Military leadership remains politically neutral and does not favor

once on skip or support any political party or candidate

once on skip

statements_US_35- *Show if 35 in* Officeholders do not use the military to advance political agendas

once on skip or intimidate political opponents

once on skip

COLUMNS

- ¹ The U.S. does not meet this standard
- ² The U.S. partly meets this standard
- ³ The U.S. mostly meets this standard
- ⁴ The U.S. fully meets this standard
- ⁵ Not sure
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_rating_USA

rating_USA- required

RULE

On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 is least democratic and 100 is most democratic, how would you rate the political system of the United States as of today?

| | |
|------------|---|
| right | Most democratic |
| dk | 0 |
| min | 0 |
| varlabel | Rating of how democratic US political system is |
| max | 100 |
| show_value | 1 |
| required | HARD |
| left | Least democratic |

Page:

implicit_page_rating_USA_diff_scenrio

rating_USA_diff_scenrio- prompt once on skip

RULE

What rating would you expect to give the political system of the United States in \$item5b_split.raw? You rated the US now as \$rating_USA.

| | |
|------------|--|
| right | Most democratic |
| dk | 0 |
| min | 0 |
| varlabel | Rating of US under different scenarios - 2027/2032 |
| max | 100 |
| show_value | 1 |
| required | SOFT |
| left | Least democratic |

Page: intro_rule

We will now ask you some questions about the rule of law during Donald Trump's second term as president.

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_vs_Biden_rule_of_la

W

**Trump_vs_Biden_rule_of_law-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How do you rate Trump's second term so far, in terms of threatening the rule of law, **compared with Joe Biden's presidency?**

varlabel Trump 2nd term vs Biden - Threat to rule of law

required SOFT

- 1 Much less threat than Biden
- 2 Less threat than Biden
- 3 Similar threat to Biden
- 4 More threat than Biden
- 5 Much more threat than Biden
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_2nd_vs_1st_rule_of_l

aw

**Trump_2nd_vs_1st_rule_of_law-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How do you rate Trump's second term so far, in terms of threatening the rule of law, **compared with his first term?**

varlabel Trump 2nd term vs 1st term - Threat to rule of law

required SOFT

- 1 Much less threat than first term
- 2 Less threat than first term
- 3 Similar threat as first term
- 4 More threat than first term
- 5 Much more threat than first term
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_election_confidence

election_confidence-
prompt once on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

How confident are you that votes nationwide will be counted as voters intend in the November 2026 election for the U.S. Congress?

varlabel 2026 election confidence

required SOFT

- 1 Very confident
2 Somewhat confident
3 Not very confident
4 Not at all confident
8 *Skipped*
9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_biden_winner

biden_winner-
prompt once
on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

Now, thinking back to the presidential election of 2020, do you consider **Joe Biden** to be the rightful winner of the presidential election in **November 2020** or not the rightful winner?

varlabel Biden rightful winner

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely the rightful winner
2 Probably the rightful winner
3 Probably not the rightful winner
4 Definitely not the rightful winner
8 *Skipped*
9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_SC_constrain_Trump_2nd

SC_constrain_Trump_2nd-
prompt once on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

How much do you think the Supreme Court is constraining excessive uses of executive power in Trump's second term?

varlabel Supreme Court constraining Trump 2nd term

required SOFT

- 1 Trump is not using power excessively
- 2 A little or not at all
- 3 Somewhat
- 4 A lot
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_SC_confidence_Trump_admin

SC_confidence_Trump_admin-
prompt once on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

How confident are you that the Supreme Court will make impartial decisions in legal cases regarding the second Trump administration?

varlabel Confidence in Supreme Court decisions on Trump 2nd term

required SOFT

- 1 Very confident
- 2 Somewhat confident
- 3 Not very confident
- 4 Not at all confident
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:
 implicit_page_SC_influence_Trump_admin

**SC_influence_Trump_admin-
 prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

To what extent do you think current Supreme Court justices are influenced by fear of threats or reprisals from the executive branch?

varlabel Supreme Court justices influenced by executive

required SOFT

- 1 Great extent
- 2 Moderate extent
- 3 Small extent
- 4 Not at all
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_gender

**gender-
 Show if
 0/prompt
 once on
 skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Are you...?

pii False

varlabel Sex

tags check_for_coding, CA_GV_Survey_1, GV_US,
 used_in_US_Omni_Survey_Template, US_GV_Survey_1, CA_GV_Mini_demographics, Globalvars_7,
 GV_CA, US_GV_Mini_demographics, Profile_Codebook, scripting_template

required SOFT

- 1 Male
- 2 Female
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_gender_o

gender_o-
required

SINGLE CHOICE

What is your gender?

varlabel Gender with other

required HARD

- ¹ Male
- ² Female
- ³ Other
- ⁴ Prefer not to answer
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page: state_pg

**inputstate- Show if
not pdl.inputstate
or
pdl.inputstate.last
>
months(3)/required**

DROPDOWN

In what state do you currently reside?

varlabel State of Residence

required HARD

- 1 Alabama
- 2 Alaska
- 4 Arizona
- 5 Arkansas
- 6 California
- 8 Colorado
- 9 Connecticut
- 10 Delaware
- 11 District of Columbia
- 12 Florida
- 13 Georgia
- 15 Hawaii
- 16 Idaho
- 17 Illinois
- 18 Indiana
- 19 Iowa
- 20 Kansas
- 21 Kentucky
- 22 Louisiana
- 23 Maine
- 24 Maryland
- 25 Massachusetts
- 26 Michigan
- 27 Minnesota
- 28 Mississippi
- 29 Missouri
- 30 Montana
- 31 Nebraska
- 32 Nevada
- 33 New Hampshire
- 34 New Jersey
- 35 New Mexico
- 36 New York
- 37 North Carolina

- 38 North Dakota
- 39 Ohio
- 40 Oklahoma
- 41 Oregon
- 42 Pennsylvania
- 44 Rhode Island
- 45 South Carolina
- 46 South Dakota
- 47 Tennessee
- 48 Texas
- 49 Utah
- 50 Vermont
- 51 Virginia
- 53 Washington
- 54 West Virginia
- 55 Wisconsin
- 56 Wyoming
- 60 American Samoa *Show if 0*
- 64 Federated States of Micronesia *Show if 0*
- 66 Guam *Show if 0*
- 68 Marshall Islands *Show if 0*
- 69 Northern Mariana Islands *Show if 0*
- 70 Palau *Show if 0*
- 72 Puerto Rico *Show if 0*
- 74 U.S. Minor Outlying Islands *Show if 0*
- 78 Virgin Islands *Show if 0*
- 81 Alberta *Show if 0*
- 82 British Columbia *Show if 0*
- 83 Manitoba *Show if 0*
- 84 New Brunswick *Show if 0*
- 85 Newfoundland *Show if 0*
- 86 Northwest Territories *Show if 0*
- 87 Nova Scotia *Show if 0*
- 88 Nunavut *Show if 0*
- 89 Ontario *Show if 0*
- 90 Prince Edward Island *Show if 0*
- 91 Quebec *Show if 0*
- 92 Saskatchewan *Show if 0*
- 93 Yukon Territory *Show if 0*
- 99 Not in the U.S or Canada *Show if 0*
- 998 *Skipped*
- 999 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_ideo7

**ideo7-
prompt
once
on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

When it comes to politics, would you describe yourself as liberal, conservative, or neither liberal nor conservative?

varlabel Political ideology

required SOFT

- 1 Very conservative
- 2 Somewhat conservative
- 3 Slightly conservative
- 4 Moderate; middle of the road
- 5 Slightly liberal
- 6 Somewhat liberal
- 7 Very liberal
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_attention_check_grid

attention_check_grid- prompt once on skip

GRID

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement below.

header_alignment bottom
 roworder randomize
 collapse_expanded True
 varlabel Attention check grid
 required SOFT

ROWS

attention_check_a- *prompt once* By law, abortion should never be permitted
on skip

attention_check_b- *prompt once* In order to reduce the budget deficit, the federal government
on skip should eliminate all welfare programs that help poor people

attention_check_c- *prompt once* The federal government should raise the minimum wage to \$10
on skip

attention_check_d- *prompt once* The federal government should guarantee health insurance for all
on skip citizens

attention_check_e- *prompt once* The federal government should pass new rules that protect the
on skip right of workers to join labor unions

attention_check_f- *prompt once* Barack Obama was the first president of the United States
on skip

COLUMNS

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Neither agree nor disagree
- 4 Somewhat disagree
- 5 Strongly disagree
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_9

Page: page_pid3

**pid3-
required** SINGLE CHOICE

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a ...?

varlabel 3 point party ID

required HARD

- 1 Democrat
- 2 Republican
- 3 Independent
- 4 Other (open [pid3_t])
- 5 Not sure
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: page_pid7

**pid7- Show if
pid3/required** SINGLE CHOICE

\$pid7text

varlabel 7 point Party ID

required HARD

- 1 Strong Democrat *Show if pid3==1*
- 2 Not very strong Democrat *Show if pid3==1*
- 7 Strong Republican *Show if pid3==2*
- 6 Not very strong Republican *Show if pid3==2*
- 3 The Democratic Party *Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]*
- 5 The Republican Party *Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]*
- 4 Neither *Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]*
- 8 Not sure *Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]*
- 9 Don't know *Show if 0*
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_10

Page: implicit_page_educ7

educ7-
prompt
once
on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

varlabel Highest level of education completed

required SOFT

- 1 Did not graduate from high school
- 2 High school diploma or the equivalent (GED)
- 3 Some college
- 4 Associate's degree
- 5 Bachelor's degree
- 6 Master's degree
- 7 Professional or doctorate degree
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_educ

educ-
Show if
0/prompt
once on
skip

SINGLE CHOICE

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

varlabel Education

required SOFT

- 1 Did not graduate from high school
- 2 High school graduate
- 3 Some college, but no degree (yet)
- 4 2-year college degree
- 5 4-year college degree
- 6 Postgraduate degree (MA, MBA, MD, JD, PhD, etc.)
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_race

**race-
Show if
0/prompt
once on
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What racial or ethnic group best describes you?

pii False

varlabel Race

tags core, Profile_Codebook, GlobalVars_7, equivalent_spd_race, profile_core, GV_US, cbs, Profile_Codebook_Demographics, US_GV_GL_Mini_LocalDemos, US_GV_Survey_1, profile, election2014, Profile_Codebook_Core, coresampling, Profile_Basic_Info, used_in_US_Omni_Survey_Template, nytimes, scripting_template

required SOFT

- ¹ White
- ² Black or African-American
- ³ Hispanic or Latino
- ⁴ Asian or Asian-American
- ⁵ Native American
- ⁸ Middle Eastern
- ⁶ Two or more races
- ⁷ Other (open [race_other])
- ⁹⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹⁹ *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_multrace

multrace-
Show if
0/prompt
once on
skip

MULTIPLE CHOICE

Please indicate the racial or ethnic groups that best describe you. (select all that apply)

pii False

varlabel mixed race

tags profile, core, core codebook, core_survey, Profile_Codebook_Demographics, biracial, Profile_Codebook_Core, race, mixedrace, multrace, equivalent_spd_race, Profile_Codebook

max 3

required SOFT

- ¹ White
- ² Black or African-American
- ³ Hispanic or Latino
- ⁴ Asian or Asian-American
- ⁵ Native American
- ⁸ Middle Eastern
- ⁹⁷ Other
- ⁹⁸ Don't know
- ⁹⁹ None of these

Show if 0
Exclude other punches
Show if 0

Page: implicit_page_ethnicity

ethnicity-
prompt
once on
skip SINGLE CHOICE

With which race or ethnicity do you most identify?

varlabel Race/Ethnicity

required SOFT

order randomize

- 1 White
- 2 Black or African American
- 3 American Indian or Alaska Native
- 4 Asian/Pacific Islander
- 5 Multi-racial
- 6 Hispanic/Latino/Chicano/a
- 7 Other
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

Not randomized

Page: implicit_page_hispanic

hispanic- Show if
not
pdl.hispanic/prompt
once on skip SINGLE CHOICE

Are you of Spanish, Latino, or Hispanic origin or descent?

pii False

varlabel Hispanic

tags hispanic, race, Profile_Basic_Info

required SOFT

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_polinterest

polinterest-
prompt
once on
skip

SINGLE CHOICE

Generally, how interested are you in politics?

varlabel Level of interest in politics

required SOFT

- 1 Extremely interested
- 2 Very interested
- 3 Somewhat interested
- 4 Not very interested
- 5 Not at all interested
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: implicit_module_1

Module: item_17_Biden_Trump_mod

Module: Biden_block_mod

Page: justice_Biden_enemies_pg

We'd now like to ask you about Joe Biden's term as president...<p></p>

Now, thinking back to Joe Biden's term as president...<p></p>

justice_Biden_enemies-
prompt once on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

How much do you think President Biden and Attorney General Merrick Garland used the Justice Department **to go after political and personal enemies?**

varlabel Biden/Garland: DOJ used against enemies

required SOFT

- 1 Little or not at all
- 2 Somewhat
- 3 To a significant degree
- 4 To a very significant and disturbing degree
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: justice_Biden_favors_pg

We'd now like to ask you about Joe Biden's term as president...<p></p>

Now, thinking back to Joe Biden's term as president...<p></p>

justice_Biden_favors-
prompt once on skip SINGLE CHOICE

How much do you think President Biden and Attorney General Merrick Garland used the Justice Department **to provide favors or benefits to the president's political and personal allies?**

varlabel Biden/Garland: DOJ used for favors

required SOFT

- 1 Little or not at all
- 2 Somewhat
- 3 To a significant degree
- 4 To a very significant and disturbing degree
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: Biden_block_mod

Module: Trump_block_mod

Page: justice_Trump_enemies_pg

We'd now like to ask you about Donald Trump's second term as president again...

Now, thinking about Donald Trump's second term as president...<p></p>

justice_Trump_enemies-
prompt once on skip SINGLE CHOICE

How much do you think President Trump and Attorney General Pam Bondi have used the Justice Department **to go after political and personal enemies?**

varlabel Trump/Bondi: DOJ used against enemies

required SOFT

- 1 Little or not at all
- 2 Somewhat
- 3 To a significant degree
- 4 To a very significant and disturbing degree
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: justice_Trump_favors_pg

We'd now like to ask you about Donald Trump's second term as president again...

Now, thinking about Donald Trump's second term as president...<p></p>

**justice_Trump_favors-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much do you think President Trump and Attorney General Pam Bondi have used the Justice Department **to provide favors or benefits to the president's political and personal allies?**

varlabel Trump/Bondi: DOJ used for favors

required SOFT

- 1 Little or not at all
- 2 Somewhat
- 3 To a significant degree
- 4 To a very significant and disturbing degree
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: Trump_block_mod

end module: item_17_Biden_Trump_mod

Module: implicit_module_2

Page: implicit_page_inst_grid

**inst_grid-
prompt
once on
skip**

GRID

Here is a list of institutions in American society. How much confidence do you have in each one?

| | |
|---|---|
| header_alignment | bottom |
| roworder | randomize |
| collapse_expanded | True |
| width | 130 |
| varlabel | Confidence in institutions |
| required | SOFT |
| ROWS | |
| inst_1- <i>prompt once on skip</i> | The U.S. Department of Justice |
| inst_2- <i>Show if 2 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | The U.S. Supreme Court |
| inst_3- <i>Show if 3 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | Congress |
| inst_4- <i>Show if 4 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | The Presidency |
| inst_5- <i>Show if 5 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | The FBI |
| inst_6- <i>Show if 6 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) |
| inst_7- <i>Show if 7 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) |
| inst_8- <i>Show if 8 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | Federal Reserve Board |
| inst_9- <i>Show if 9 in items_18_3_random/prompt once on skip</i> | Federal district and circuit courts |

COLUMNS

- ¹ A great deal
- ² Quite a lot
- ³ Some
- ⁴ Very little

- 5 None at all
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_attention_check2_grid

attention_check2_grid- prompt once on skip

GRID

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement below.

roworder randomize
collapse_expanded True
varlabel Attention check 2 grid
required SOFT

ROWS

attention_check2_a- *prompt once on skip* People convicted of murder should be given the death penalty

attention_check2_b- *prompt once on skip* World War I came after World War II

attention_check2_c- *prompt once on skip* Gays and lesbians should have the right to legally marry

attention_check2_d- *prompt once on skip* In order to reduce the budget deficit, the federal government should raise taxes on people that make more than \$250,000 per year

attention_check2_e- *prompt once on skip* The Affordable Care Act passed by Congress in 2010 should be repealed

COLUMNS

- 1 Strongly agree
- 2 Somewhat agree
- 3 Neither agree nor disagree
- 4 Somewhat disagree
- 5 Strongly disagree
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_approve_Trump_second_term

**approve_Trump_second_term-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you approve or disapprove of the way **Donald Trump** is handling his job as President right now in **his second term in office**?

varlabel Approval of Trump during second term as US President

required SOFT

- 1 Strongly approve
- 2 Somewhat approve
- 3 Somewhat disapprove
- 4 Strongly disapprove
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_more_supporter

**more_supporter-
Show if
republican_flag
== 1/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you consider yourself to be more of a supporter of Donald Trump or more of a supporter of the Republican Party?

varlabel More of a supporter of Donald Trump or Republican Party

required SOFT

- 1 Supporter of Donald Trump
- 2 Supporter of the Republican Party
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: item_21_intro_pg

Next, we are going to describe four criminal prosecutions of prominent political figures that are ongoing or took place in the last few years. We will ask you a question about each one.

end module: implicit_module_2

Module: item_21_mod

Page: implicit_page_biden_case_1

biden_case_1- Show if 1 in item_21_Biden_2_random/prompt once on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

In 2023, the Justice Department indicted Hunter Biden for allegedly lying on his application to purchase a handgun. Do you believe this prosecution was conducted in a fair and impartial manner or do you believe it was motivated by politics?

varlabel Biden/Trump case legitimacy: Hunter Biden

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely fair and impartial
- 2 Mostly fair and impartial
- 3 Probably motivated by politics
- 4 Definitely motivated by politics
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_biden_case_2

biden_case_2- Show if 2 in item_21_Biden_2_random/prompt once on skip

SINGLE CHOICE

In 2023, the Justice Department indicted former Congressman George Santos, a New York Republican, for allegedly committing wire fraud, conspiracy, and identity theft. Do you believe this prosecution was conducted in a fair and impartial manner or do you believe it was motivated by politics?

varlabel Biden/Trump case legitimacy: George Santos

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely fair and impartial
- 2 Mostly fair and impartial
- 3 Probably motivated by politics
- 4 Definitely motivated by politics
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_biden_case_3

**biden_case_3- Show if 3 in
item_21_Biden_2_random/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

In 2023, Justice Department Special Counsel Jack Smith indicted Donald Trump for allegedly retaining classified documents after leaving the presidency in 2021. Do you believe this prosecution was conducted in a fair and impartial manner or do you believe it was motivated by politics?

varlabel Biden/Trump case legitimacy: Trump documents

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely fair and impartial
- 2 Mostly fair and impartial
- 3 Probably motivated by politics
- 4 Definitely motivated by politics
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_biden_case_4

**biden_case_4- Show if 4 in
item_21_Biden_2_random/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

In 2023, Justice Department Special Counsel Jack Smith indicted Donald Trump for allegedly participating in criminal conspiracies in his efforts to overturn the 2020 presidential election. Do you believe this prosecution was conducted in a fair and impartial manner or do you believe it was motivated by politics?

varlabel Biden/Trump case legitimacy: Trump election conspiracies

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely fair and impartial
- 2 Mostly fair and impartial
- 3 Probably motivated by politics
- 4 Definitely motivated by politics
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_trump_case_1

**trump_case_1- Show if 1 in
item_21_Trump_2_random/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

In 2025, the Justice Department indicted former FBI Director James Comey for allegedly lying to and obstructing Congress related to the Russia investigation. Do you believe this prosecution has been conducted in a fair and impartial manner or do you believe it is motivated by politics?

varlabel Biden/Trump case legitimacy: Comey

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely fair and impartial
- 2 Mostly fair and impartial
- 3 Probably motivated by politics
- 4 Definitely motivated by politics
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_trump_case_2

**trump_case_2- Show if 2 in
item_21_Trump_2_random/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

In 2025, the Justice Department indicted New York Attorney General Letitia James, a Democrat, for allegedly committing mortgage fraud. Do you believe this prosecution has been conducted in a fair and impartial manner or do you believe it is motivated by politics?

varlabel Biden/Trump case legitimacy: Letitia James

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely fair and impartial
- 2 Mostly fair and impartial
- 3 Probably motivated by politics
- 4 Definitely motivated by politics
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: `implicit_page_trump_case_3`

**trump_case_3- Show if 3 in
item_21_Trump_2_random/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

In 2025, the Justice Department indicted Congresswoman Sheila Cherfilus-McCormick, a Florida Democrat, for allegedly stealing federal disaster funds and making illegal campaign contributions. Do you believe this prosecution has been conducted in a fair and impartial manner or do you believe it is motivated by politics?

varlabel Biden/Trump case legitimacy: Cherfilus-McCormick

required SOFT

- 1 Definitely fair and impartial
- 2 Mostly fair and impartial
- 3 Probably motivated by politics
- 4 Definitely motivated by politics
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_21_mod

Module: `item_22_Biden_mod` if
`item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1`

Page: `item_22_Biden_intro_text_pg`

The next set of questions will ask you about potential reactions to actions taken in opposition to the federal government during the Biden administration.

Module: `item_22_Biden_questions_mod`

Module:

`item_22_Biden_social_consequences_mod`

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_justified_consequence
_social

**Biden_justified_consequence_social- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the Biden administration, a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events)** or never justified?

varlabel Biden: Justified social consequence

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events) would be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ² No, social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_social

**Biden_how_much_justify_consequence_social-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1
and Biden_justified_consequence_social in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the Biden administration, how much would it be justified for a \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events)** if they \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government?

varlabel Biden: How much justified social consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Biden_social_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Biden_harassment_consequences_m
od

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_justified_consequence
_harassment**Biden_justified_consequence_harassment- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the Biden administration, a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **harassment (online or in-person)** or never justified?

varlabel Biden: Justified harassment consequence

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, harassment (online or in-person) would be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ² No, harassment (online or in-person) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_harassment

**Biden_how_much_justify_consequence_harassment-
Show if item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1
and Biden_justified_consequence_harassment in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the Biden administration, how much would it be justified for a \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face harassment (online or in-person) if they \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government?

varlabel Biden: How much justified harassment consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Biden_harassment_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Biden_prof_consequences_mod

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_justified_consequence
_prof

**Biden_justified_consequence_prof- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the Biden administration, a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **professional consequences (for example, losing job or promotion)** or never justified?

varlabel Biden: Justified professional consequence

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, professional consequences (for example, losing job or promotion) would be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ² No, professional consequences (for example, losing job or promotion) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_prof

**Biden_how_much_justify_consequence_prof-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1
and Biden_justified_consequence_prof in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the Biden administration, how much would it be justified for a \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **professional consequences (for example, losing job or promotion)** if they \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government?

varlabel Biden: How much justified professional consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Biden_prof_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Biden_legal_consequences_mod

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_justified_consequence
_legal

**Biden_justified_consequence_legal- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the Biden administration, a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine)** or never justified?

varlabel Biden: Justified legal consequence

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine) would be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ² No, legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_legal

**Biden_how_much_justify_consequence_legal-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1
and Biden_justified_consequence_legal in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the Biden administration, how much would it be justified for a \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine)** if they \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government?

varlabel Biden: How much justified legal consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Biden_legal_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Biden_violence_consequences_mod

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_justified_consequence
_violence

**Biden_justified_consequence_violence- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the Biden administration, a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **violence (the use of physical force to harm someone)** or never justified?

varlabel Biden: Justified violent consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Yes, violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) would be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- 2 No, violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Biden_target_text \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government.
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Biden_how_much_justify_consequence_violence

**Biden_how_much_justify_consequence_violence-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1 and
Biden_justified_consequence_violence in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the Biden administration, how much would it be justified for a \$item_22_Biden_target_text to face **violence (the use of physical force to harm someone)** if they \$item_22_Biden_action_text the federal government?

varlabel Biden: How much justified violent consequence 5

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Biden_violence_consequences_mod

end module: item_22_Biden_questions_mod

end module: item_22_Biden_mod if item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==1

Module: item_22_Trump_mod if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2

Page: item_22_Trump_intro_text_pg if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==
2

The next set of questions will ask you about potential reactions to actions taken in opposition to the federal government during the second Trump administration.

Module: item_22_Trump_questions_mod

Module:

item_22_Trump_social_consequences_mod

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_justified_consequenc
e_social

**Trump_justified_consequence_social- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the second Trump administration, a \$item_22_Trump_target_text
\$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the
\$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events)**
or never justified?

varlabel Trump: Justified social consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Yes, social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events) would be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- 2 No, social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_social

**Trump_how_much_justify_consequence_social-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2
and Trump_justified_consequence_social in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the second Trump administration, how much would it be justified for a
\$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **social consequences (for example, exclusion from social events)** if
they \$item_22_Trump_action_p_text the federal government?

varlabel Trump: How much justified social consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Trump_social_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Trump_harassment_consequences_m
od

Page:

 implicit_page_Trump_justified_consequenc
 e_harassment

**Trump_justified_consequence_harassment- Show if
 item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2/prompt
 once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the second Trump administration, a \$item_22_Trump_target_text
 \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the
 \$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **harassment (online or in-person)** or never justified?

varlabel Trump: Justified harassment consequence

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, harassment (online or in-person)
 would be justified if a
 \$item_22_Trump_target_text
 \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal
 government.
- ² No, harassment (online or in-person)
 would not be justified if a
 \$item_22_Trump_target_text
 \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal
 government.
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_how_much_justify_h
arassment_consequence

**Trump_how_much_justify_harassment_consequence-
Show if item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2
and Trump_justified_consequence_harassment in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the second Trump administration, how much would it be justified for a \$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **harassment (online or in-person)** if they \$item_22_Trump_action_p_text the federal government?

varlabel Trump: How much justified harassment consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Trump_harassment_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Trump_prof_consequences_mod

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_justified_consequenc
e_prof

**Trump_justified_consequence_prof- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the second Trump administration, a \$item_22_Trump_target_text
\$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the
\$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **professional consequences (for example, losing job or
promotion)** or never justified?

varlabel Trump: Justified professional consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Yes, professional consequences (for example, losing job or promotion) would be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- 2 No, professional consequences (for example, losing job or promotion) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_prof

**Trump_how_much_justify_consequence_prof-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2
and Trump_justified_consequence_prof in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the second Trump administration, how much would it be justified for a \$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **professional consequences (for example, losing job or promotion)** if they \$item_22_Trump_action_p_text the federal government?

varlabel Trump: How much justified professional consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Trump_prof_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Trump_legal_consequences_mod

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_justified_consequenc
e_legal

**Trump_justified_consequence_legal- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the second Trump administration, a \$item_22_Trump_target_text
\$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the
\$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine)** or never
justified?

varlabel Trump: Justified legal consequence

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine) would be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- ² No, legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_legal

**Trump_how_much_justify_consequence_legal-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2
and Trump_justified_consequence_legal in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the second Trump administration, how much would it be justified for a
\$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **legal sanctions (for example, prosecution or fine)** if they
\$item_22_Trump_action_p_text the federal government?

varlabel Trump: How much justified legal consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Trump_legal_consequences_mod

Module:

item_22_Trump_violence_consequences_mod

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_justified_consequenc
e_violence**Trump_justified_consequence_violence- Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2/prompt
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if, during the second Trump administration, a \$item_22_Trump_target_text
\$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government? Would it then be justified for the
\$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **violence (the use of physical force to harm someone)** or never
justified?

varlabel Trump: Justified violence consequence

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) would be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- ² No, violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) would not be justified if a \$item_22_Trump_target_text \$item_22_Trump_action_text the federal government.
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit_page_Trump_how_much_justify_co
nsequence_violence

**Trump_how_much_justify_consequence_violence-
Show if
item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2 and
Trump_justified_consequence_violence in
[1]/prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

During the second Trump administration, how much would it be justified for a
\$item_22_Trump_target_text to face **violence (the use of physical force to harm someone)** if they
\$item_22_Trump_action_p_text the federal government?

varlabel Trump: How much justified violence consequence

required SOFT

- 1 Not at all
- 2 A little
- 3 A moderate amount
- 4 A lot
- 5 A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: item_22_Trump_violence_consequences_mod

end module: item_22_Trump_questions_mod

end module: item_22_Trump_mod if item_22_Biden_Trump_block_treatment==2

Module: implicit_module_3

Page:

implicit_page_approval_recent_events_grid

**approval_recent_events_grid-
prompt once on skip**

GRID

Please indicate whether you approve or disapprove of the following actions that have been taken since Trump took office again as president.

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| displaymax | 3 |
| header_alignment | bottom |
| roworder | randomize |
| collapse_expanded | True |
| width | 130 |
| varlabel | Approval of Trump actions since second term |
| required | SOFT |

ROWS

| | |
|--|---|
| approval_recent_events_1- <i>Show if 1 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i> | The Department of Justice begins a criminal investigation into Federal Reserve Chair Jerome Powell. |
| approval_recent_events_2- <i>Show if 2 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i> | Federal agents fatally shoot \$approval_recent_events_2_text during immigration operations in Minnesota. |
| approval_recent_events_3- <i>Show if 3 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i> | ICE disobeys 96 court orders in the District of Minnesota in January, according to Chief U.S. District Judge Patrick J. Schlitz. |
| approval_recent_events_4- <i>Show if 4 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i> | The journalist Don Lemon is charged with federal civil rights crimes after live-streaming a protest at a church in St. Paul, Minnesota. |
| approval_recent_events_5- <i>Show if 5 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i> | FBI agents search a Fulton County, Georgia election center for ballots from the 2020 election with the Director of National Intelligence present. |
| approval_recent_events_6- <i>Show if 6 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i> | President Trump calls for Republican officials to "nationalize" voting, which is administered at the state and local level. |
| approval_recent_events_7- <i>Show if 7 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i> | The Department of Health and Human Services freezes \$10 billion in childcare funding for 5 Democratic-led states due to alleged fraud. |
| approval_recent_events_8- | FBI agents search the home of a Washington Post journalist as |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p><i>Show if 8 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>part of a leak investigation.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_9- <i>Show if 9 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>The DOJ issues subpoenas to five Minnesota Democratic leaders, including Governor Tim Walz and Minneapolis mayor Jacob Frey, investigating their response to federal immigration enforcement.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_10- <i>Show if 10 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>Attorney General Pam Bondi asks Minnesota Governor Tim Walz for access to the state's voter rolls.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_11- <i>Show if 11 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>The DOJ sues 24 states for unredacted access to voter registration lists.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_12- <i>Show if 12 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>The Trump administration oversees Venezuelan oil sales and holds proceeds in offshore, U.S.-controlled accounts while directing how funds are spent.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_13- <i>Show if 13 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>Donald Trump says "You have to vote for us" during a speech to troops at Fort Bragg.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_14- <i>Show if 14 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>The Department of Homeland Security sends administrative subpoenas to tech companies requesting personal information about users whose social media accounts track or criticize the agency.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_15- <i>Show if 15 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>The Supreme Court rules that President Trump exceeded his authority to impose tariffs under existing law, invalidating many of the tariffs he imposed on foreign goods.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_16- <i>Show if 16 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>After the U.S. Supreme Court strikes down his previous tariff program, President Trump imposes a new temporary tariff of 15% on imports.</p> |
| <p>approval_recent_events_17- <i>Show if 17 in items_23_6_random/prompt once on skip</i></p> | <p>After the U.S. Supreme Court strikes down his previous tariff program, President Trump says "I am ashamed of certain Members of the Court" and says "(i)t is my opinion that the Court has been swayed by Foreign Interests."</p> |

COLUMNS

- ¹ Strongly approve
- ² Somewhat approve
- ³ Somewhat disapprove
- ⁴ Strongly disapprove
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page:

 implicit_page_Epstein_handling_approval

**Epstein_handling_approval-
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Donald Trump is handling investigations into Jeffrey Epstein?

varlabel Epstein handling approval

required SOFT

- ¹ Strongly approve
- ² Somewhat approve
- ³ Somewhat disapprove
- ⁴ Strongly disapprove
- ⁵ Not sure
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_validity

**validity-
prompt
once on
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

 It is essential for the validity of this study that we know whether participants looked up any information online during the study. Did you make an effort to look up information during the study? Please be honest; you will still be paid and you will not be penalized in any way if you did.

varlabel Looked up information

required SOFT

- ¹ Yes, I looked up information
- ² No, I did not look up information
- ⁸ *Skipped*
- ⁹ *Not Asked*

Page: implicit_page_insincere

**insincere-
prompt
once on
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

We sometimes find people don't always take surveys seriously, instead providing humorous or insincere responses to questions. How often do you do this?

varlabel How often give insincere responses

required SOFT

- 1 Never
- 2 Rarely
- 3 Some of the time
- 4 Most of the time
- 5 Always
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: open_trap8_paste_detect_pg if graphon.interview_type == "live"

```
<script> ready(function() { $(":text").on('paste', function() { setTimeout(function() {
$('input[type="text"]').eq(1).val('1'); }, 50); }); $("textarea").on('paste', function() {
setTimeout(function() { $('input[type="text"]').eq(0).val('1'); }, 50); }); }); </script>
```

**open_trap8-
required**

OPEN TEXTBOX

In a couple of sentences, please tell us what you enjoy about where you live in 2026.

cols 60

varlabel Enjoy where you live in 2026 - Attention check

required HARD

<div style="display:none !important">

open_trap8_paste_detected

OPEN TEXTBOX

varlabel Enjoy where you live in 2026 - Attention check - Paste detected

required NONE

</div>

Page: implicit_page_11

Page: survey_comments_paste_detect_pg

```
<script> ready(function() { $(":text").on('paste', function() { setTimeout(function() {
$('input[type="text"]').eq(1).val('1'); }, 50); }); $("textarea").on('paste', function() {
setTimeout(function() { $('input[type="text"]').eq(0).val('1'); }, 50); }); }); </script>
```

survey_comments

OPEN TEXTBOX

Do you have any comments on the survey? Please let us know about any problems you had or aspects of the survey that were confusing.

```
cols          80
varlabel      Survey comments
required      NONE
```

```
<div style="display:none !important">
```

survey_comments_paste_detected

OPEN TEXTBOX

```
&nbsp;
```

```
varlabel      Survey comments - Paste detected
required      NONE
```

```
</div>
```

Page: implicit_page_12

Page: thankyou_pg

```
<p>Thank you for answering these questions. This research is not intended to support or oppose any political candidate or office. The research has no affiliation with any political candidate or campaign and has received no financial support from any political candidate or campaign.</p> <p>Should you have any questions about this study, please contact Brendan Nyhan at <a href="mailto:nyhan@dartmouth.edu">nyhan@dartmouth.edu</a>.</p> <p>Please click the next button to complete the survey.</p>
```