

BLW wave 25 experts

Survey Flow

EmbeddedData

LuthIDValue will be set from Panel or URL.

LanguageValue will be set from Panel or URL.

uni_threats_randomization = \${rand://int/1:2}

EmbeddedData

Q_TotalDurationValue will be set from Panel or URL.

Block: Section 1: Introduction & Consent (1 Question)

Branch: New Branch

If

If Thank you for participating in the Bright Line Watch survey of political scientists. The purpose... I do not agree to continue Is Selected

EndSurvey: Advanced

Standard: Section 2: Performance (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 3a: Overall Evaluation (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 3b: 2027 Ratings (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 3a: International Overall Evaluation (2 Questions)

Standard: Threat/ benefit intro (1 Question)

Branch: New Branch

If

If uni_threats_randomization Is Equal to 1

Standard: Section 4a.1: threats to democracy - garcia (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - pressure (2 Questions)

BlockRandomizer: 1 -

Standard: Section 4a.2: threats to democracy - columbia response (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.3: threats to democracy - harvard response (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.5: threats to democracy - trump response (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - actblue (2 Questions)

Branch: New Branch

If

If uni_threats_randomization Is Equal to 2

Standard: Section 4a.1: threats to democracy - garcia (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - pressure (2 Questions)

BlockRandomizer: 2 -

Standard: Section 4a.3: threats to democracy - harvard response (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.2: threats to democracy - columbia response (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.5: threats to democracy - trump response (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - actblue (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 4a.6: Threats to democracy extent (3 Questions)
Standard: Future threat / benefit intro (1 Question)

BlockRandomizer: 5 - Evenly Present Elements

Standard: Section 7a.1: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.2: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.3: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.4: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.5: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.6: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.7: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.8: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 7a.9: future threats to democracy (2 Questions)

Standard: Section 7b: Future threats to democracy (3 Questions)
Standard: Metaculus Future scenarios (3 Questions)
Standard: Metaculus Future scenarios (continuous) (2 Questions)
Standard: DEI/ Antisemitism intro (1 Question)

BlockRandomizer: 2 -

Standard: Section 3a.1: Antisemitism (3 Questions)
Standard: Section 3a.1: DEI (3 Questions)

Standard: Academic freedom intro (1 Question)
Standard: Section 4a.1: Academic freedom - how much freedom (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 4a.2: Academic freedom - how much freedom counterfactual (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 4a.2: Academic freedom (3 Questions)
Standard: Section 4a.3: Academic freedom (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 4a.4: Aca freedom - refraining actions (2 Questions)

EmbeddedData

```
strongly_agrees = ${q://QID1139/ChoiceGroup/SelectedChoicesForAnswer/1}  
agrees = ${q://QID1139/ChoiceGroup/SelectedChoicesForAnswer/2}
```

EmbeddedData

```
strongly_agrees_text = ${q://QID1139/ChoiceGroup/SelectedAnswers/1} == "Strongly  
agree"  
student_answerValue will be set from Panel or URL.
```

Branch: New Branch

If

If strongly_agrees Is Not Empty

Or agrees Is Not Empty

Standard: Section 4a.5: Academic freedom (5 Questions)

Standard: University leadership (3 Questions)
Standard: Section 14: Citizenship status (3 Questions)
Standard: Section 15: race / ethnicity (3 Questions)

Branch: New Branch

If

If What is your present religion, if any? Jewish (Judaism) Is Not Selected

Standard: Jewish (1 Question)

Standard: Section 13: Institution (2 Questions)
Standard: State (2 Questions)
Standard: Section 11: Occupation (2 Questions)

Branch: New Branch

If

If Which of the following best describes your occupation? Other Is Not Selected

Standard: Section 12: Field of study (3 Questions)

Standard: Section 14: Comments (3 Questions)

EndSurvey: Advanced

Page Break

Start of Block: Section 1: Introduction & Consent



Q1.1 Thank you for participating in the Bright Line Watch survey of political scientists. The purpose of this survey is to measure expert opinions about the state of American democracy. Your responses will be anonymous. Your participation in this study is voluntary, and you are free to skip any questions or withdraw from the study at any time. No information that identifies you will be collected or retained by the researchers, and all of the information we collect will be stored securely. However, any online interaction carries some risk of being accessed. If you have any questions or comments about this survey, or if you would like to be removed from the mailing list for future surveys, please email survey@brightlinewatch.org.

- I agree to continue (1)
- I do not agree to continue (2)

End of Block: Section 1: Introduction & Consent

Start of Block: Section 2: Performance

Q479 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)



PERF How well do the following statements describe the United States as of today?

	The U.S. does not meet this standard (1)	The U.S. partly meets this standard (2)	The U.S. mostly meets this standard (3)	The U.S. fully meets this standard (4)	Not sure (5)
Government officials are legally sanctioned for misconduct (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Government officials do not use public office for private gain (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Government agencies are not used to monitor, attack, or punish political opponents (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
All adult citizens enjoy the same legal and political rights (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Government does not interfere with journalists or news organizations (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Government effectively prevents private actors from engaging in politically-motivated violence or intimidation (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Government protects individuals' right to engage in unpopular speech or expression (7)

Political competition occurs without criticism of opponents' loyalty or patriotism (8)

Elections are free from foreign influence (9)

Parties and candidates are not barred due to their political beliefs and ideologies (10)

All adult citizens have equal opportunity to vote (11)

All votes have equal impact on election outcomes (12)

Elections are conducted, ballots counted, and winners determined without pervasive fraud or manipulation (13)

Executive authority cannot be expanded beyond constitutional limits (14)	<input type="radio"/>				
The legislature is able to effectively limit executive power (15)	<input type="radio"/>				
The judiciary is able to effectively limit executive power (16)	<input type="radio"/>				
The elected branches respect judicial independence (17)	<input type="radio"/>				
Voter participation in elections is generally high (18)	<input type="radio"/>				
Information about the sources of campaign funding is available to the public (19)	<input type="radio"/>				
Public policy is not determined by large campaign contributions (20)	<input type="radio"/>				
Citizens can make their opinions heard in open	<input type="radio"/>				

debate about policies that are under consideration (21)

The geographic boundaries of electoral districts do not systematically advantage any particular political party (22)

Even when there are disagreements about ideology or policy, political leaders generally share a common understanding of relevant facts (23)

Elected officials seek compromise with political opponents (24)

Citizens have access to information about candidates that is relevant to how they would govern (25)

Government protects individuals' right to

engage in peaceful protest (26)

Law enforcement investigations of public officials or their associates are free from political influence or interference (27)

Government statistics and data are produced by experts who are not influenced by political considerations (28)

The law is enforced equally for all persons (29)

Politicians who lose free and fair elections publicly concede defeat (30)

Universities, businesses, and professional organizations operate independently of government pressure (31)

End of Block: Section 2: Performance

Start of Block: Section 3a: Overall Evaluation

ratingusa_timer Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)




rusa_now

On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 is least democratic and 100 is most democratic, how would you rate the political system of the United States as of today?

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

United States today ()	
------------------------	--



Page Break


End of Block: Section 3a: Overall Evaluation

Start of Block: Section 3b: 2027 Ratings

Q857 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

rusa_2027 What rating would you expect to give the political system of the United States in 2027? You rated the US now as [\\${rusa_now/ChoiceNumericEntryValue/1}](#)

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100



End of Block: Section 3b: 2027 Ratings


Start of Block: Section 3a: International Overall Evaluation

Q1149 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)



international_dem Now using the same 0 to 100 scale, where 0 is least democratic and 100 is most democratic, how would you rate the political systems of the following countries today? You rated the political system of the United States today as [\\${rusa_now/ChoiceNumericEntryValue/1}](#).

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

Hungary ()	
Turkey ()	
Poland ()	
Canada ()	
Mexico ()	
Israel ()	
Russia ()	
Great Britain ()	

Page Break

End of Block: Section 3a: International Overall Evaluation

Start of Block: Threat/ benefit intro

Q1132 In this section of the survey, we ask you to assess a series of events that recently occurred. What impact (if any) has each had on American democracy?

End of Block: Threat/ benefit intro

Start of Block: Section 4a.1: threats to democracy - garcia

Q860 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

threat_bin_salvador The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention in El Salvador after a Supreme Court decision requiring the White House to “facilitate” his release.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 4a.1: threats to democracy - garcia

Start of Block: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - pressure

Q862 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

threat_bin_pressure The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their policies in order to retain federal funding.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - pressure

Start of Block: Section 4a.2: threats to democracy - columbia response

Q858 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

threat_bin_columbia Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in order to retain federal funding.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 4a.2: threats to democracy - columbia response

Start of Block: Section 4a.3: threats to democracy - harvard response

Q859 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

threat_bin_harvard Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in order to retain federal funding.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 4a.3: threats to democracy - harvard response

Start of Block: Section 4a.5: threats to democracy - trump response

Q861 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

threat_bin_tax The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change its policies in order to retain federal funding.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 4a.5: threats to democracy - trump response

Start of Block: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - actblue

Q1201 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

threat_bin_actblue President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 4a.6: threats to democracy - actblue

Start of Block: Section 4a.6: Threats to democracy extent

Q863 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Display this question:

If The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention i... = Benefit to American democracy

Or The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their po... = Benefit to American democracy

Or The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change... = Benefit to American democracy

Or Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in or... = Benefit to American democracy

Or Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in ord... = Benefit to American democracy

Or President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. = Benefit to American democracy

benefits You indicated that the following are benefits to American democracy. We would now like you to rate the degree to which they are a benefit to democracy.

Display this choice:
If The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention i... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in or... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in ord... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their po... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. = Benefit to American democracy

	Little benefit to American democracy (2)	Moderate benefit to American democracy (3)	Serious benefit to American democracy (4)	Extraordinary benefit to American democracy (5)
<p><i>Display this choice:</i> <i>If The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention i... = Benefit to American democracy</i></p> <p>The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention in El Salvador after a</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Supreme Court decision requiring the White House to “facilitate” his release. (2)

Display this choice:

If Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in or... = Benefit to American democracy

Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in order to retain federal funding. (49)

Display this choice:

If Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in ord... = Benefit to American democracy

Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in order to retain



federal funding.
(50)

*Display this choice:
If The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change... = Benefit to American democracy*

The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change its policies in order to retain federal funding. (51)



*Display this choice:
If The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their po... = Benefit to American democracy*

The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their policies in order to retain federal funding. (52)



Display this choice:
If President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. = Benefit to American democracy

President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. (53)



Page Break

Display this question:

If The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention i... = Threat to American democracy

Or The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their po... = Threat to American democracy

Or The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change... = Threat to American democracy

Or Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in or... = Threat to American democracy

Or Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in ord... = Threat to American democracy

Or President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. = Threat to American democracy

threats You indicated that the following are threats to American democracy. We would now like you to rate the degree to which they are a threat to democracy.

Display this choice:
If The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention i... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in or... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in ord... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their po... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. = Threat to American democracy

	Little threat to American democracy (2)	Moderate threat to American democracy (3)	Serious threat to American democracy (4)	Extraordinary threat to American democracy (5)
<p><i>Display this choice:</i> <i>If The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention i... = Threat to American democracy</i></p> <p>The Trump administration refusing to make efforts to return Kilmar Abrego García from detention in El Salvador after a</p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Supreme Court decision requiring the White House to “facilitate” his release. (2)

Display this choice:

If Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in or... = Threat to American democracy

Columbia University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in order to retain federal funding. (48)

Display this choice:

If Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in ord... = Threat to American democracy

Harvard University's response to pressure from the Trump administration to change policies in order to retain



federal funding.
(49)

*Display this choice:
If The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change... = Threat to American democracy*

The Trump administration seeking to revoke Harvard's tax-exempt status after it refused to change its policies in order to retain federal funding. (50)



*Display this choice:
If The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their po... = Threat to American democracy*

The Trump administration pressuring Columbia University and Harvard University to change their policies in order to retain federal funding. (51)



Display this choice:

If President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. = Threat to American democracy

President Trump directing the Justice Department to investigate ActBlue. (52)



End of Block: Section 4a.6: Threats to democracy extent

Start of Block: Future threat / benefit intro

Q1031 In this section of the survey, we ask you to assess a series of events that may materialize in the future. What impact (if any) would each have on American democracy?

End of Block: Future threat / benefit intro

Start of Block: Section 7a.1: future threats to democracy

Q864 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_revoke The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rates than historical norms.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.1: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.2: future threats to democracy

Q865 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_citizen U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.2: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.3: future threats to democracy

Q1186 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_garcia Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.3: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.4: future threats to democracy

Q1188 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_HU_cave Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump administration's April 11 letter before June.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.4: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.5: future threats to democracy

Q1190 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_HU_cut Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.5: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.6: future threats to democracy

Q1192 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_court Judge Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration in contempt of court before May.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.6: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.7: future threats to democracy

Q1194 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_impeach A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2027.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.7: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.8: future threats to democracy

Q1196 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_filib The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.8: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7a.9: future threats to democracy

Q1198 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

f_threat_bin_deport The US establishes a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before January 3, 2027.

- Benefit to American democracy (1)
- Will not affect American democracy (2)
- Threat to American democracy (3)

End of Block: Section 7a.9: future threats to democracy

Start of Block: Section 7b: Future threats to democracy

Q866 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

Display this question:

If The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rate... = Benefit to American democracy

Or The US establishes a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before Janu... = Benefit to American democracy

Or The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. = Benefit to American democracy

Or A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2... = Benefit to American democracy

Or Judge Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration... = Benefit to American democracy

Or Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. = Benefit to American democracy

Or Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump admin... = Benefit to American democracy

Or U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. = Benefit to American democracy

Or Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. = Benefit to American democracy

f_benefits You indicated that the following are benefits to American democracy. We would now like you to rate the degree to which they are a benefit to democracy.

Display this choice:
If The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rate... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump admin... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Judge Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2... = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. = Benefit to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The US establishes a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before Janu... = Benefit to American democracy

	Little benefit to American democracy (2)	Moderate benefit to American democracy (3)	Serious benefit to American democracy (4)	Extraordinary benefit to American democracy (5)
<p><i>Display this choice:</i> <i>If The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rate... = Benefit to American</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

democracy

The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rates than historical norms. (24)

Display this choice:


If U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. = Benefit to American democracy



U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. (26)

Display this choice:

If Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. = Benefit to American democracy



Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. (38)

Display this choice:

If Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump admin... = Benefit to American



democracy

Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump administration's April 11 letter before June (39)

Display this choice:

If Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. = Benefit to American democracy



Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. (40)

Display this choice:

If Judge Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration... = Benefit to American democracy



Judge Boasberg holds Trump administration in contempt of court before May. (41)

Display this choice:

If A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2027. = Benefit to American democracy

A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2027. (42)



Display this choice:

If The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. = Benefit to American democracy

The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. (43)



Display this choice:

If The US establishes a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before January 3, 2027. = Benefit to American democracy



The US
establishes a
government
program
rewarding
information
leading to
deportations
before January
3, 2027. (44)

Page Break

Display this question:

If The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rate... = Threat to American democracy

Or The US establishes a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before Janu... = Threat to American democracy

Or The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. = Threat to American democracy

Or A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2... = Threat to American democracy

Or Judge Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration... = Threat to American democracy

Or Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. = Threat to American democracy

Or Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump admin... = Threat to American democracy

Or U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. = Threat to American democracy

Or Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. = Threat to American democracy

f_threats You indicated that the following are threats to American democracy. We would now like you to rate the degree to which they are a threat to democracy.

Display this choice:
If The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rate... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump admin... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If Judge Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2... = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. = Threat to American democracy

Display this choice:
If The US establishes a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before Janu... = Threat to American democracy

	Little threat to American democracy (2)	Moderate threat to American democracy (3)	Serious threat to American democracy (4)	Extraordinary threat to American democracy (5)
<p><i>Display this choice:</i> <i>If The federal government revokes citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rate... = Threat to American democracy</i></p>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

The federal government revoking citizenship from naturalized citizens at significantly higher rates than historical norms. (24)

Display this choice:
If U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. = Threat to American democracy

U.S. citizens are transferred to El Salvador before July. (26)

Display this choice:
If Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. = Threat to American democracy

Kilmar Abrego Garcia is released from custody before July. (38)

Display this choice:
If Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump admin... = Threat to American democracy



Harvard University publicly announces it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump administration's April 11 letter before June (39)

Display this choice:
If Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. = Threat to American democracy

Trump announces additional funding cuts to Harvard before June. (40)

Display this choice:
If Judge Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration... = Threat to American democracy

Judge Boasberg holds Trump administration in contempt of court before May. (41)

Display this choice:

If A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2027. = Threat to American democracy

A federal judge is impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2027. (42)

Display this choice:
If The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. = Threat to American democracy

The US Senate weakens or eliminates the filibuster before January 3, 2029. (43)

Display this choice:
If The US establishes a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before January 3, 2029. = Threat to American democracy

The US establishes a government



program rewarding information leading to deportations before January 3, 2027. (44)

End of Block: Section 7b: Future threats to democracy


Start of Block: Metaculus Future scenarios

- Q867 Timing
- First Click (1)
- Last Click (2)
- Page Submit (3)
- Click Count (4)

Q853 The goal of this portion of the survey is to understand how respondents assess the likelihood of some political events that could occur in the future. We will ask you to assess the chances that each one will occur by the specified date. For example, we might ask you to rate the chances that Congress passes legislation to limit the terms of future Supreme Court justices to 18 years by the end of 2025. For more background and the specific resolution criteria for an event, click the Show Resolution Criteria and Background Info button under the event wording.



percentage_forecast For the following questions, we ask you to provide your answers using numeric percentages. If you think the scenario will occur with near certainty, then you would give an answer close to 100%. If you think there is only a remote chance that the scenario will occur, then you would give an answer close to 0%, and if you think the scenario is as likely to occur as not to occur, then you would give an answer close to 50%.



Will a federal judge be impeached by Republican Representatives in a partisan vote before January 3, 2027? Show Additional Information

Resolution Criteria This question will resolve as Yes if, before January 3, 2027, a United States federal judge is impeached by the US House of Representatives with fewer than half of Democratic representatives voting for the impeachment. **Fine Print** The reason for impeachment is immaterial for the purposes of this question. Conviction in the US Senate, which at the time of this question requires a two-thirds vote to convict, is not necessary for this question to resolve as Yes. **Background Info**

Impeachment of federal judges in the United States is a rare and serious action, typically reserved for cases involving significant misconduct or ethical violations. The process requires a majority vote in the House of Representative to impeach and a two-thirds majority in the Senate to convict and remove the judge from office. Historically, very few federal judges have been impeached and removed, and none solely for their judicial decisions. In March 2025, President Donald Trump called for the impeachment of US District Judge James Boasberg after Boasberg issued a temporary restraining order halting deportations under the Alien Enemies Act of 1798. Chief Justice John Roberts responded by stating that impeachment is not an appropriate response to disagreements over judicial decisions. ()



Will the US Senate weaken or eliminate the filibuster before January 3, 2029? Show Additional Information

Resolution Criteria This question resolves as Yes if cloture is successfully invoked in the United States Senate of the 119th Congress or the 120th Congress with less than three-fifths of all the Senators duly chosen and sworn, before January 3, 2029, on any debatable matter before the US Senate for which cloture currently requires the vote of at least three-fifths of the Senators. Otherwise the question resolves as No. The resolution source for the Senate of the 119th Congress is:

<https://www.senate.gov/legislative/cloture/119.htm>

The resolution source for the Senate of the 120th Congress (which is scheduled to begin on January 3, 2027) is expected to be:

<https://www.senate.gov/legislative/cloture/120.htm>



Fine Print - At the time of this question, Senate rules require a three-fifths vote of the Senate to invoke cloture on all matters except for presidential nominations. (Source; see also Filibusters and Cloture in the Senate.) - The resolution links in the Resolution Criteria are provided for convenience, but if the official US Senate cloture motion pages change, then those will be used. - Resolution of this question is triggered through invocation of cloture with under three-fifths of Senators voting for it on any matter for which the three-fifths or more vote is required at the time of this question. - Note that a resolution of Yes requires invocation of cloture to actually take place. Therefore any bills that bypass the filibuster and thus avoid cloture, such as S.610 which raised the debt ceiling in December 2021, or budget reconciliation bills, do not count. **Background Info** The US Senate filibuster rule, which requires 60 votes to invoke cloture and bring most legislation to a floor vote, has been a defining feature of Senate procedure for decades. While the filibuster has been modified in recent years—such as in 2013 (for executive and judicial nominees except the Supreme Court) and 2017 (for Supreme Court nominees)—the 60-vote requirement for standard legislation has remained intact. According to the US Senate's power and procedures guide: The Senate tradition of unlimited debate has allowed for the use of the filibuster, a loosely defined term for action designed to prolong debate and delay or prevent a vote on a bill, resolution, amendment, or other debatable question. Prior to 1917 the Senate rules did not provide for a way to end debate and force a vote on a measure. That year, the Senate adopted a rule to allow a two-thirds majority to end a filibuster, a procedure known as "cloture." In 1975 the Senate reduced the number of votes required for cloture from two-thirds of senators voting to three-fifths of all senators duly chosen and sworn, or 60 of the 100-member Senate. The upshot of the Senate's procedural rules is that it creates a practical requirement that any non-budgetary bill have 60 votes in the chamber to pass. With the Senate at the time of this question being 53 Republicans and 47 Democrats, that means that the vast majority of bills needing passage in the Senate must have at least 7 Democratic votes. One

recent example has been the Laken Riley Act, which passed with 64 votes in the Senate, including 11 Democrats. From the standpoint of the US government, the filibuster serves as a check on the power of the majority party, which becomes especially poignant when one party controls all three of the White House, the House of Representatives and the Senate. According to political scientist Robert Van Houweling arguing against the filibuster when Democrats were in power in 2021, "The filibuster is straightforwardly anti-majoritarian and anti-democratic." At the time the Senate filibuster was blocking Democratic agenda items from being passed, such as the \$15 minimum wage and improved voting rights legislation. However, in the two months following the January 20, 2025 inauguration of President Donald Trump, Democrats in the Senate used the filibuster to prevent the passage of the following bills: - Barring transgender girls from competing in female sports (NY Times) - Creating criminal penalties for abortion providers (NY Times) - Imposing sanctions on the International Criminal Court due to the ICC's prosecution of Israeli officials for war crimes in Gaza (CNN) Senate rules were changed in 2013 to allow a simple majority to invoke cloture on presidential nominees other than the Supreme Court, and then in 2017 the rules were further changed for Supreme Court justices to be confirmed with a simple majority. From a predictive standpoint it's important to note that filibuster rules themselves can ironically be changed in the Senate through a simple majority vote, in a parliamentary procedure known as the Nuclear Option, which was used in the 2013 and 2017 rule changes. Given the closely divided nature of the Senate and potential shifts in party control, changes to the filibuster rule during the second Trump term remain a possibility, particularly if a slim Senate majority believes it is necessary to pass key legislative priorities. In 2017 during his first term, President Trump called for the Senate to end the filibuster, tweeting, "With the ridiculous Filibuster Rule in the Senate, Republicans need 60 votes to pass legislation, rather than 51. Can't get votes, END NOW!" This was quickly rebuffed by then-Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell. The following year he renewed his call, which was again shot down. During the Biden Administration 2021–

2025 there were frequent calls to end the filibuster (example 1, example 2), most recently with Kamala Harris calling for ending the filibuster in order to pass federal legislation protecting a woman's right to an abortion. Thus far since President Trump's election to a second term, Senate Republicans have expressed strong support for maintaining the filibuster, although Trump himself has remained silent on the issue.
()

Will the US establish a government program rewarding information leading to deportations before January 3, 2027? Show Additional Information **Resolution Criteria** This question will resolve as Yes if, before January 3, 2027, a United States federal or state government formally establishes a program that provides monetary rewards to civilians for providing information leading to the deportation of individuals from the US. For this question to resolve as Yes: - The program must be officially established by a federal executive branch department (e.g., DHS, ICE, DOJ), a state executive branch agency, or a federal or state legislature through enacted legislation. - The program must offer monetary compensation or financial incentives in exchange for providing information that contributes to the deportation of undocumented immigrants or individuals otherwise subject to removal proceedings. - The program must become active before January 1, 2027. This question will not resolve as Yes if: - There is no monetary reward involved (e.g., a general tip line or anonymous reporting system without financial compensation). - The program is proposed or debated but not officially established and implemented before the deadline. - The program is created only by a private organization rather than a government entity. - The monetary rewards are only offered to law enforcement officers or government employees, rather than civilians. **Background Info** Throughout US history, there have been various forms of civilian tip lines and enforcement incentives related to immigration, but none yet offering paid rewards. Currently, the US Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) tip line allows civilians to report suspected immigration violations, but it does not offer monetary incentives. Given the ongoing debates about immigration policy and



<p>border enforcement, there is a possibility that certain states or the federal government could introduce a monetary reward system to encourage civilian participation in deportation efforts. ()</p>	
<p>Will U.S. citizens be transferred to El Salvador before July? Show Additional Information Resolution Criteria This question will resolve to "Yes" if any individual holding U.S. citizenship, who is in the custody of U.S. law enforcement or correctional authorities, is transferred to El Salvador for the purpose of incarceration by June 30, 2025, 11:59 PM ET. Otherwise, this question will resolve to "No". To qualify, an incarcerated U.S. citizen must be transferred to El Salvador and physically enter the terrestrial territory. Entering the maritime territory or airspace will not qualify. Any transfer meeting these criteria will count, even if its implementation is immediately halted or reversed by judicial or other actions. The primary resolution source will be official information from the U.S. Government, however a consensus of credible reporting may also be used. ()</p>	
<p>Will Kilmar Abrego Garcia be released from custody before July? Show Additional Information Resolution Criteria This question will resolve to "Yes" if Kilmar Armando Abrego Garcia is released from custody by June 30, 2025, 11:59 PM ET. Otherwise, this question will resolve to "No". - If Garcia is released from custody by the resolution date but remains under house arrest, the market will immediately resolve to "Yes". - If Garcia is released on bond, this will count as being released from custody and the market will resolve to "Yes". - Transporting Garcia to another location of custody will NOT suffice to resolve this market to "Yes". The primary resolution source for this market will be information from relevant government bodies, however a consensus of credible reporting will suffice. ()</p>	
<p>Will Harvard University publicly announce it will comply with any demands outlined in the Trump administration's April 11 letter before June? Show Additional Information Resolution Criteria On April 11, the Trump administration sent the following letter to Harvard University (see: link) This question will resolve to "Yes" if Harvard University publicly announces it will</p>	

<p>comply with any demands outlined in the Trump administration's April 11 letter, by May 31, 2025, 11:59 PM ET. Otherwise, this question will resolve to "No". - Announcements from Harvard will qualify regardless of if/when implementation occurs. - The resolution source will be official announcements from Harvard, however a consensus of credible reporting may also be used. ()</p>	
<p>Will Trump announce additional funding cuts to Harvard before June? Show Additional Information Resolution Criteria This question will resolve to "Yes" if the Trump administration publicly announces any new federal funding cuts or freezes targeting Harvard University between April 16 and May 31, 2025, 11:59 PM ET. Otherwise, this question will resolve to "No". The resolution source will be official information from the Trump administration, however a consensus of credible reporting may also be used. ()</p>	
<p>Will Judge Boasberg formally hold any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration in contempt of court before May? Show Additional Information Resolution Criteria This question will resolve to "Yes" if U.S. District Judge James Boasberg formally holds any official, agency, or representative of the Trump administration in contempt of court by April 30, 2025, 11:59 PM ET. Otherwise, this question will resolve to "No". - Civil or criminal contempt will qualify. - The resolution source will be official federal court records, however a consensus of credible reporting may also be used. ()</p>	

End of Block: Metaculus Future scenarios

Start of Block: Metaculus Future scenarios (continuous)

- Q868 Timing
- First Click (1)
- Last Click (2)
- Page Submit (3)
- Click Count (4)



continuous_forecast For the following question, we ask you to estimate the number of times a specific event will happen within a given time period.

0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200

How many journalists in the US will face criminal charges related to their work before 2027? Show Additional Information

Resolution Criteria This question resolves as the number of incidents of journalists arrested and/or charged with crimes in the United States in 2025 and 2026 according to the U.S. Press Freedom Tracker. **Fine Print** - Alternative sources should follow similar methodology as the one outlined by the U.S. Press Freedom Tracker: - The U.S. Press Freedom Tracker adopts a functional definition of who is a journalist. It doesn't matter whether the individual has a press pass or went to journalism school, whether they work for The New York Times or work for themselves. What matters is whether the person was performing an act of journalism. - The Tracker will count journalists whose rights to gather and disseminate information were violated in the course of their work or as a result of their work. **Background Info** While the United States has strong constitutional protections for freedom of the press, the use of federal criminal charges has occurred, albeit rarely, in its history. When such prosecutions occur, they typically involve allegations related to national security, unauthorized possession or dissemination of classified information, or obstruction of justice. In some instances, journalists have faced legal exposure for refusing to reveal sources, trespassing during newsgathering, or alleged violations of computer or wiretapping laws. In his second term, President Trump has been critical of the press and has previously called for the jailing of journalists who publish leaked materials. ()



End of Block: Metaculus Future scenarios (continuous)

Start of Block: DEI/ Antisemitism intro

Q1127 We're now going to ask questions about some different topics. The following questions ask about the academic institution where you work (or worked) as of January 2025. If you teach or conduct research at more than one institution, use the school that you consider your primary institution.

End of Block: DEI/ Antisemitism intro

Start of Block: Section 3a.1: Antisemitism

Q869 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

antisemitism_problem How much of a problem, if at all, do you think antisemitism, that is hostility or prejudice toward Jewish people, was at your institution as of January 2025?

- A very serious problem (1)
- Somewhat of a problem (2)
- Not much of a problem (3)
- Not a problem at all (4)

antisemitism_policy How effective were the policies in place at your institution as of January 2025 at protecting members of the campus community from antisemitism?

- Very effective (1)
- Somewhat effective (2)
- Not very effective (3)
- Not at all effective (4)

End of Block: Section 3a.1: Antisemitism

Start of Block: Section 3a.1: DEI

Q870 Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

dei_problem How much of a problem, if at all, do you think the state of racial and ethnic diversity and inclusion was at your institution as of January 2025?

- A very serious problem (1)
- Somewhat of a problem (2)
- Not much of a problem (3)
- Not a problem at all (4)

dei_policy How effective were the policies in place at your institution as of January 2025 at promoting racial and ethnic diversity and inclusion on campus?

- Very effective (1)
- Somewhat effective (2)
- Not very effective (3)
- Not at all effective (4)

End of Block: Section 3a.1: DEI

Start of Block: Academic freedom intro

Q1030 The next questions ask about your views related to academic freedom. Academic freedom is the freedom of teachers or researchers in higher education to investigate and

discuss the issues in their academic field, and to teach or publish findings without interference from political figures, boards of trustees, donors, or other entities. Academic freedom also protects the right of faculty members to speak freely when participating in institutional governance, as well as to speak freely as a citizen. Again, if you teach or conduct research at more than one institution, use the school that you consider your primary institution.

End of Block: Academic freedom intro

Start of Block: Section 4a.1: Academic freedom - how much freedom

Q871 Timing
 First Click (1)
 Last Click (2)
 Page Submit (3)
 Click Count (4)



aca_freedom How much academic freedom do you have in the following?

	None (1)	A little (2)	Some (3)	Quite a bit (4)	A great deal (5)
Extramural speech (1)	<input type="radio"/>				
Research (2)	<input type="radio"/>				
Teaching (3)	<input type="radio"/>				

End of Block: Section 4a.1: Academic freedom - how much freedom

Start of Block: Section 4a.2: Academic freedom - how much freedom counterfactual

Q872 Timing
 First Click (1)
 Last Click (2)
 Page Submit (3)
 Click Count (4)



aca_freedom_cf Suppose you had not experienced the events that have taken place in the United States since January 20, 2025. How would you have answered the following question: How much academic freedom do you have in the following?

	None (1)	A little (2)	Some (3)	Quite a bit (4)	A great deal (5)
Extramural speech (1)	<input type="radio"/>				
Research (2)	<input type="radio"/>				
Teaching (3)	<input type="radio"/>				

End of Block: Section 4a.2: Academic freedom - how much freedom counterfactual

Start of Block: Section 4a.2: Academic freedom

- Q873 Timing
- First Click (1)
- Last Click (2)
- Page Submit (3)
- Click Count (4)

Q828 On the following screens, we will ask you about both potential decreases and increases in academic freedom on a range of topics.



aca_issues_decrease Which, if any, of the following issues do you feel less free to discuss now than you did in January 2025? (select all that apply)

- Middle East conflict (Israel/Palestine) (1)
- Diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) (2)
- Politics (federal) (3)
- Gender identity (4)
- Politics (state/local) (5)
- Immigration (6)
- Sexual orientation (7)
- Abortion (8)
- Gun control/gun rights (9)
- Vaccines (10)
- Contraception (11)
- Climate change (12)
- Other (13) _____
- None of the above (14)

End of Block: Section 4a.2: Academic freedom

Start of Block: Section 4a.3: Academic freedom

Q874 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)



aca_issues_increase Which, if any, of the following issues do you feel more free to discuss now than you did in January 2025? (select all that apply)

- Middle East conflict (Israel/Palestine) (1)
- Diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) (2)
- Politics (federal) (3)
- Gender identity (4)
- Politics (state/local) (5)
- Immigration (6)
- Sexual orientation (7)
- Abortion (8)
- Gun control/gun rights (9)
- Vaccines (10)
- Contraception (11)
- Climate change (12)
- Other (13) _____
- None of the above (14)

End of Block: Section 4a.3: Academic freedom

Start of Block: Section 4a.4: Aca freedom - refraining actions

Q875 Timing
 First Click (1)
 Last Click (2)
 Page Submit (3)
 Click Count (4)



refraining_actions Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with the following statements: Due to the situation on my campus and/or the broader political environment, I am refraining from...

	Strongly agree (1)	Somewhat agree (2)	Somewhat disagree (3)	Strongly disagree (4)
Making extramural utterances (e.g., on social media) (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Communicating with students about certain topics (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Teaching certain things in class (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Researching and publishing on certain topics (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

End of Block: Section 4a.4: Aca freedom - refraining actions

Start of Block: Section 4a.5: Academic freedom



Q836 You agreed that you are refraining from {[show all for which they answered somewhat/strongly agree] making extramural utterances (e.g., on social media), communicating with students about certain topics, teaching certain things in class, and [if >1] researching and publishing on certain topics}. The next three questions concern these actions.



causes_refrain Which is more important in causing you to refrain from these actions?

- The situation on my campus (1)
 - The broader political environment (2)
 - Both equally (3)
-



concerns_refrain Which, if any, of the following concerns are causing you to refrain from these actions? (select all that apply)

- Social consequences (e.g., exclusion from social events) (1)
 - Harassment (online or in-person) (2)
 - Professional consequences (e.g., losing job or promotion) (3)
 - Legal sanction (e.g., prosecution or fine) (4)
 - Change in immigration/residency/citizenship status (5)
 - Violence (e.g., assault) (6)
 - Other (7) _____
 - None of the above (8)
-



topics_refrain On which of the following topics are you refraining from these actions? (select all that apply)

- Middle East conflict (Israel/Palestine) (1)
 - Diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) (2)
 - Politics (federal) (3)
 - Gender identity (4)
 - Politics (state/local) (5)
 - Immigration (6)
 - Sexual orientation (7)
 - Abortion (8)
 - Gun control/gun rights (9)
 - Vaccines (10)
 - Contraception (11)
 - Climate change (12)
 - Other (13) _____
 - None of the above (14)
-

Q876 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

End of Block: Section 4a.5: Academic freedom

Start of Block: University leadership

admin_support Please select the option below that best describes your view. The administration of the university where I teach supports academic freedom.

- Not at all (1)
- A little (2)
- Somewhat (3)
- Quite a bit (4)
- A great deal (5)

Page Break

admin_support_issues The administration of the university where I teach supports academic freedom in research, teaching, and public discussion of...

	Not at all (1)	A little (2)	Somewhat (3)	Quite a bit (4)	A great deal (5)
Middle East conflict (Israel/Palestine) (1)	<input type="radio"/>				
Diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) (2)	<input type="radio"/>				
Gender identity (3)	<input type="radio"/>				
Immigration (4)	<input type="radio"/>				
Sexual orientation (5)	<input type="radio"/>				

Q877 Timing
 First Click (1)
 Last Click (2)
 Page Submit (3)
 Click Count (4)

End of Block: University leadership

Start of Block: Section 14: Citizenship status

Q1112 Timing
 First Click (1)
 Last Click (2)
 Page Submit (3)
 Click Count (4)

Q817 Finally, we have just a few questions about you. No information provided in this survey will be used to identify you.

citizenship What is your citizenship status?

- I am a U.S. citizen who was born in the U.S. or a U.S. territory or born abroad to U.S. citizen parents. (1)
- I am a naturalized U.S. citizen. (2)
- I am not a U.S. citizen. (3)

End of Block: Section 14: Citizenship status

Start of Block: Section 15: race / ethnicity

Q1115 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)



race With which race or ethnicity do you most identify?

- White (1)
- Black or African American (2)
- American Indian or Alaska Native (3)
- Asian/Pacific Islander (7)
- Multi-racial (8)
- Hispanic/Latino/Chicano/a (9)
- Other (10)

Page Break



religion What is your present religion, if any?

- Protestant (Baptist, Methodist, Non-denominational, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Pentecostal, Episcopalian, Reformed, Church of Christ, Jehovah's Witness, etc.) (1)
- Roman Catholic (Catholic) (4)
- Jewish (Judaism) (5)
- Muslim (Islam) (6)
- Buddhist (7)
- Hindu (8)
- Atheist (do not believe in God) (9)
- Agnostic (not sure if there is a God) (10)
- Something else (11) _____
- Nothing in particular (12)

End of Block: Section 15: race / ethnicity

Start of Block: Jewish

jewish Aside from religion, do you consider yourself Jewish or partially Jewish, or not?

- Yes (1)
- Yes, partially Jewish (2)
- No, I do not (3)
- Don't know (4)

End of Block: Jewish

Start of Block: Section 13: Institution

Q1118 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

institution What type of academic institution do you work at?

- Public (1)
- Private nonprofit (2)
- Private for-profit (3)
- None of the above (4)

End of Block: Section 13: Institution

Start of Block: State

state In which state is the academic institution where you work located?

▼ Alabama (1) ... My institution is not in the United States (53)

Q878 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

End of Block: State

Start of Block: Section 11: Occupation

Q9.1 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

occupation Which of the following best describes your occupation?

- Graduate student (1)
- Post-doctoral fellow (2)
- Adjunct faculty (3)
- Full-time faculty (4)
- Emeritus faculty (5)
- Other (6)

End of Block: Section 11: Occupation

Start of Block: Section 12: Field of study

field intro Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

field What is your primary field of study?

- American politics (1)
- Comparative politics (2)
- International relations (3)
- Political theory (4)
- Another field of political science (5)
- Something other than political science (6)

field_text What is your specific area of study?

End of Block: Section 12: Field of study

Start of Block: Section 14: Comments

Q11.1 Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

comments Do you have any comments about this survey?

Q710 Thank you for answering these questions. This research is not intended to support or oppose any political candidate or office. The research has no affiliation with any political candidate or campaign and has received no financial support from any political candidate or campaign.

End of Block: Section 14: Comments
