


**Questionnaire**  
**DART0049\_W9**

 Valued Customer  
Version unknown  
2025-02-06  
22:29:13.457000+00:00

Page: testing\_pg if gryphon.interview\_type == "test"

<p style="color: blue;">This page is only shown during testing. Respondents will be randomly assigned.</p>

**viol\_support\_treatment-prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

DART0049 wave 9 — Support for violence

varlabel DART0049 Wave 9 Violence Outcomes A or B Treatment

required SOFT

- 1  Violence outcomes A
- 2  Violence outcomes B
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

**political\_systems\_treatment-prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

DART0049 wave 9 — Having a strong leader who does not have to both with Congress/Congress and elections

varlabel DART0049 Wave 9 Political System Treatment

required SOFT

- 1  See "Having a strong leader who does not have to bother with Congress and elections"
- 2  See "Having a strong leader who does not have to bother with Congress"
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_2

Page: implicit\_page\_3

Page: consent\_page

This study is being conducted by John Carey and Brendan Nyhan, who are professors at Dartmouth College. We ask for your attention for a few minutes and we thank you for your attention and your responses. Your participation is voluntary and you may decline the survey or withdraw at any time. No

information that identifies you will be collected or retained by the researchers, and all of the information we collect will be stored securely. However, any online interaction carries some risk of being accessed. Please contact Brendan Nyhan at <a href="mailto:nyhan@dartmouth.edu">nyhan@dartmouth.edu</a> if you have any questions or concerns about this study.

**consent-required** SINGLE CHOICE  
Do you consent to participate in the survey?

varlabel Consent to take survey

required HARD

<sup>1</sup>  Yes

<sup>2</sup>  No

<sup>8</sup> *Skipped*

<sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

Page: thanks\_out if consent in [2]

---

\*\*Thank you for your time!\*\*

Exit (screenout)

Exit (screenout)

## Page: implicit\_page\_birthy

---

**birthy- Show if not  
pdl.birthy or  
int(pdl.birthy) <  
1920 or  
pdl.birthy.last >  
months(27)/required**

OPEN INTEGER TEXTBOX

In what year were you born?

pii	False
cols	4
min	1900
varlabel	Birth Year
tags	used_in_US_Omni_Survey_Template, GV_CA, Globalvars_7, GV_US, GlobalVars_7, scripting_template
max	2025
required	HARD

## Page: implicit\_page\_age

---

**age-  
Show if  
0/prompt  
once on  
skip**

OPEN INTEGER TEXTBOX

What is your age?

varlabel	Age (numerical)
required	SOFT

## Page: implicit\_page\_age9

---

**age9-  
Show if  
0/prompt  
once on  
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How old are you?

varlabel	Age (categorical)
----------	-------------------

required                      SOFT

<sup>1</sup>    Under 18

<sup>2</sup>    18 - 24

<sup>3</sup>    25 - 34

<sup>4</sup>    35 - 44

<sup>5</sup>    45 - 54

<sup>6</sup>    55 - 64

<sup>7</sup>    65 - 74

<sup>8</sup>    75 - 84

<sup>9</sup>    85 or older

<sup>98</sup>   *Skipped*

<sup>99</sup>   *Not Asked*

**Page: thank\_out\_age if age9 == 1**

---

We're sorry, but you do not qualify for this survey. Thank you for your time.

Exit                      (screenout)

**Page: implicit\_page\_4**

**Page: thanksout if screen\_flag == 1**

---

We're sorry, but you do not qualify for this survey. Thank you for your time.

Exit (screenout)

Page: implicit\_page\_5

Page: implicit\_page\_statments\_US\_grid

---

**statements\_US\_grid-**  
***prompt once on***  
***skip***

GRID

How well do the following statements describe the United States as of today?

---

displaymax	3
header_alignment	bottom
roworder	randomize
collapse_expanded	True
width	130
varlabel	How well do statements describe USA
required	SOFT
ROWS	

<i>statements_US_1- Show if 1 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Government officials are legally sanctioned for misconduct
<i>statements_US_2- Show if 2 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Government officials do not use public office for private gain
<i>statements_US_3- Show if 3 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Government agencies are not used to monitor, attack, or punish political opponents
<i>statements_US_4- Show if 4 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	All adult citizens enjoy the same legal and political rights
<i>statements_US_5- Show if 5 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Government does not interfere with journalists or news organizations
<i>statements_US_6- Show if 6 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Government effectively prevents private actors from engaging in politically-motivated violence or intimidation
<i>statements_US_7- Show if 7 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Government protects individuals' right to engage in unpopular speech or expression
<i>statements_US_8- Show if 8 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Political competition occurs without criticism of opponents' loyalty or patriotism
<i>statements_US_9- Show if 9 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Elections are free from foreign influence
<i>statements_US_10- Show if 10 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Parties and candidates are not barred due to their political beliefs and ideologies
<i>statements_US_11- Show if 11 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	All adult citizens have equal opportunity to vote
<i>statements_US_12- Show if 12 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	All votes have equal impact on election outcomes
<i>statements_US_13- Show if 13 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Elections are conducted, ballots counted, and winners determined without pervasive fraud or manipulation
<i>statements_US_14- Show if 14 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip</i>	Executive authority cannot be expanded beyond constitutional limits

- statements\_US\_15- *Show if 15 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* The legislature is able to effectively limit executive power
- statements\_US\_16- *Show if 16 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* The judiciary is able to effectively limit executive power
- statements\_US\_17- *Show if 17 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* The elected branches respect judicial independence
- statements\_US\_18- *Show if 18 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Voter participation in elections is generally high
- statements\_US\_19- *Show if 19 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Information about the sources of campaign funding is available to the public
- statements\_US\_20- *Show if 20 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Public policy is not determined by large campaign contributions
- statements\_US\_21- *Show if 21 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Citizens can make their opinions heard in open debate about policies that are under consideration
- statements\_US\_22- *Show if 22 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* The geographic boundaries of electoral districts do not systematically advantage any particular political party
- statements\_US\_23- *Show if 23 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Even when there are disagreements about ideology or policy, political leaders generally share a common understanding of relevant facts
- statements\_US\_24- *Show if 24 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Elected officials seek compromise with political opponents
- statements\_US\_25- *Show if 25 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Citizens have access to information about candidates that is relevant to how they would govern
- statements\_US\_26- *Show if 26 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Government protects individuals' right to engage in peaceful protest
- statements\_US\_27- *Show if 27 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Law enforcement investigations of public officials or their associates are free from political influence or interference
- statements\_US\_28- *Show if 28 in stateDisplay2/prompt once on skip* Government statistics and data are produced by experts who are not influenced by political considerations



statements\_US\_29- *Show if 29 in* The law is enforced equally for all persons  
*stateDisplay2/prompt once on*  
*skip*

statements\_US\_30- *Show if 30 in* Politicians who lose elections publicly concede defeat  
*stateDisplay2/prompt once on*  
*skip*

statements\_US\_31- *Show if 31 in* Universities, businesses, and professional organizations operate  
*stateDisplay2/prompt once on* independently of government pressure  
*skip*

COLUMNS

- <sup>1</sup>  The U.S. does not meet this standard
- <sup>2</sup>  The U.S. partly meets this standard
- <sup>3</sup>  The U.S. mostly meets this standard
- <sup>4</sup>  The U.S. fully meets this standard
- <sup>5</sup>  Not sure
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_rating\_USA

---

**rating\_USA-  
required**

RULE

On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 is least democratic and 100 is most democratic, how would you rate the political system of the United States as of today?

---

right	Most  democratic
dk	0
min	0
varlabel	Rating of how democratic US political system is
max	100
show_value	1
required	HARD
left	Least  democratic

Page:

## implicit\_page\_rating\_USA\_diff\_scenrio

---

**rating\_USA\_diff\_scenrio-  
prompt once on skip**

RULE

What rating would you expect to give the political system of the United States in 2027? You rated the US now as \$rating\_USA.

---

right	Most  democratic
dk	0
min	0
varlabel	Rating of US under different scenarios
max	100
show_value	1
required	SOFT
left	Least  democratic

## Page: implicit\_page\_declared\_winner

---

**declared\_winner-  
prompt once on  
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you consider **Donald Trump** to be the rightful winner of the presidential election in **November 2024** or not the rightful winner?

---

varlabel	Donald Trump rightful winner
required	SOFT

- 1  Definitely the rightful winner
- 2  Probably the rightful winner
- 3  Probably not the rightful winner
- 4  Definitely not the rightful winner
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit\_page\_personal\_vote\_confidence1

**personal\_vote\_confidence1-**  
***prompt once on skip***

SINGLE CHOICE

How confident are you that **your vote** in the general election was counted as you intended?

varlabel                      Personal vote counted as intended  
required                      SOFT

- 1  Very confident
- 2  Somewhat confident
- 3  Not very confident
- 4  Not at all confident
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit\_page\_personal\_vote\_confidence2

**personal\_vote\_confidence2-*****prompt once on skip***

SINGLE CHOICE

How confident are you that **votes in your state** in the general election were counted as intended?

varlabel State vote counted as intended

required SOFT

- 1  Very confident
- 2  Somewhat confident
- 3  Not very confident
- 4  Not at all confident
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit\_page\_personal\_vote\_confidence3

**personal\_vote\_confidence3-*****prompt once on skip***

SINGLE CHOICE

How confident are you that **votes nationwide** in the general election were counted as intended?

varlabel Nationwide vote counted as intended

required SOFT

- 1  Very confident
- 2  Somewhat confident
- 3  Not very confident
- 4  Not at all confident
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_biden\_winner

---

**biden\_winner-**  
**prompt once**  
**on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Now, thinking back to the presidential election of 2020, do you consider **Joe Biden** to be the rightful winner of the presidential election in **November 2020** or not the rightful winner?

---

varlabel                      Biden rightful winner

required                      SOFT

- 1     Definitely the rightful winner
- 2     Probably the rightful winner
- 3     Probably not the rightful winner
- 4     Definitely not the rightful winner
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_gender

---

**gender-**  
**Show if**  
**0/prompt**  
**once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Are you...?

---

pii                              False

varlabel                      Gender

tags                            check\_for\_coding, CA\_GV\_Survey\_1, GV\_US,  
 used\_in\_US\_Omni\_Survey\_Template, US\_GV\_Survey\_1, CA\_GV\_Mini\_demographics, Globalvars\_7,  
 GV\_CA, US\_GV\_Mini\_demographics, Profile\_Codebook, scripting\_template

required                      SOFT

- 1     Male
- 2     Female
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_gender\_o

---

**gender\_o-  
required**

SINGLE CHOICE

What is your gender?

---

varlabel                      Gender with other

required                      HARD

<sup>1</sup>    Male

<sup>2</sup>    Female

<sup>3</sup>    Other

<sup>4</sup>    Prefer not to answer

<sup>8</sup>   *Skipped*

<sup>9</sup>   *Not Asked*

Page: state\_pg

---

**inputstate- Show if  
not pdl.inputstate  
or  
pdl.inputstate.last  
>  
months(3)/required**

In what state do you currently reside?

DROPDOWN

varlabel                      State of Residence  
required                      HARD

## Questionnaire

- 1  Alabama
- 2  Alaska
- 4  Arizona
- 5  Arkansas
- 6  California
- 8  Colorado
- 9  Connecticut
- 10  Delaware
- 11  District of Columbia
- 12  Florida
- 13  Georgia
- 15  Hawaii
- 16  Idaho
- 17  Illinois
- 18  Indiana
- 19  Iowa
- 20  Kansas
- 21  Kentucky
- 22  Louisiana
- 23  Maine
- 24  Maryland
- 25  Massachusetts
- 26  Michigan
- 27  Minnesota
- 28  Mississippi
- 29  Missouri
- 30  Montana
- 31  Nebraska
- 32  Nevada
- 33  New Hampshire
- 34  New Jersey
- 35  New Mexico
- 36  New York



- 37  North Carolina
- 38  North Dakota
- 39  Ohio
- 40  Oklahoma
- 41  Oregon
- 42  Pennsylvania
- 44  Rhode Island
- 45  South Carolina
- 46  South Dakota
- 47  Tennessee
- 48  Texas
- 49  Utah
- 50  Vermont
- 51  Virginia
- 53  Washington
- 54  West Virginia
- 55  Wisconsin
- 56  Wyoming
- 60  American Samoa *Show if 0*
- 64  Federated States of Micronesia *Show if 0*
- 66  Guam *Show if 0*
- 68  Marshall Islands *Show if 0*
- 69  Northern Mariana Islands *Show if 0*
- 70  Palau *Show if 0*
- 72  Puerto Rico *Show if 0*
- 74  U.S. Minor Outlying Islands *Show if 0*
- 78  Virgin Islands *Show if 0*
- 81  Alberta *Show if 0*
- 82  British Columbia *Show if 0*
- 83  Manitoba *Show if 0*
- 84  New Brunswick *Show if 0*
- 85  Newfoundland *Show if 0*
- 86  Northwest Territories *Show if 0*

- 87  Nova Scotia *Show if 0*
- 88  Nunavut *Show if 0*
- 89  Ontario *Show if 0*
- 90  Prince Edward Island *Show if 0*
- 91  Quebec *Show if 0*
- 92  Saskatchewan *Show if 0*
- 93  Yukon Territory *Show if 0*
- 99  Not in the U.S or Canada *Show if 0*
- 998 *Skipped*
- 999 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_ideo7

---

**ideo7-  
prompt  
once  
on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

When it comes to politics, would you describe yourself as liberal, conservative, or neither liberal nor conservative?

varlabel Political ideology

required SOFT

- 1  Very conservative
- 2  Somewhat conservative
- 3  Slightly conservative
- 4  Moderate; middle of the road
- 5  Slightly liberal
- 6  Somewhat liberal
- 7  Very liberal
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_attention\_check\_grid

### attention\_check\_grid- prompt once on skip

GRID

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement below.

roworder randomize

collapse\_expanded True

varlabel Attention check grid

required SOFT

#### ROWS

attention\_check\_a- *prompt once* By law, abortion should never be permitted  
*on skip*

attention\_check\_b- *prompt once* In order to reduce the budget deficit, the federal government  
*on skip* should eliminate all welfare programs that help poor people

attention\_check\_c- *prompt once* The federal government should raise the minimum wage to \$10  
*on skip*

attention\_check\_d- *prompt once* The federal government should guarantee health insurance for all  
*on skip* citizens

attention\_check\_e- *prompt once* The federal government should pass new rules that protect the  
*on skip* right of workers to join labor unions

attention\_check\_f- *prompt once* Barack Obama was the first president of the United States  
*on skip*

#### COLUMNS

- <sup>1</sup>  Strongly agree
- <sup>2</sup>  Somewhat agree
- <sup>3</sup>  Neither agree nor disagree
- <sup>4</sup>  Somewhat disagree
- <sup>5</sup>  Strongly disagree
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_6

Page: page\_pid3

---

**pid3- Show if not  
pdl.pid3 or  
pdl.pid3.last >  
months(1)/required**

SINGLE CHOICE

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a ...?

---

varlabel                      3 point party ID

required                      HARD

- <sup>1</sup>     Democrat
- <sup>2</sup>     Republican
- <sup>3</sup>     Independent
- <sup>4</sup>     Other (open [pid3\_t])
- <sup>5</sup>     Not sure
- <sup>8</sup>    *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup>    *Not Asked*

Page: page\_pid7

<b>pid7- Show if (not pdl.pid7 or pdl.pid7.last &gt; months(1)) and pid3/required</b>	SINGLE CHOICE \$pid7text
---	-----------------------------

varlabel	7 point Party ID	
required	HARD	
1	<input type="radio"/> Strong Democrat	<i>Show if pid3==1</i>
2	<input type="radio"/> Not very strong Democrat	<i>Show if pid3==1</i>
7	<input type="radio"/> Strong Republican	<i>Show if pid3==2</i>
6	<input type="radio"/> Not very strong Republican	<i>Show if pid3==2</i>
3	<input type="radio"/> The Democratic Party	<i>Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]</i>
5	<input type="radio"/> The Republican Party	<i>Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]</i>
4	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<i>Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]</i>
8	<input type="radio"/> Not sure	<i>Show if pid3 in [3,4,5]</i>
9	<input type="radio"/> Don't know	<i>Show if 0</i>
98	<i>Skipped</i>	
99	<i>Not Asked</i>	

Page: pid\_setup

Page: implicit\_page\_educ7

---

**educ7-  
prompt  
once  
on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What is the highest degree or level of school you have completed?

---

varlabel                      Highest level of education completed

required                      SOFT

- 1     Did not graduate from high school
- 2     High school diploma or the equivalent (GED)
- 3     Some college
- 4     Associate's degree
- 5     Bachelor's degree
- 6     Master's degree
- 7     Professional or doctorate degree
- 98    *Skipped*
- 99    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_educ

---

**educ-**  
**Show if**  
**0/prompt**  
**once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What is the highest level of education you have completed?

---

varlabel                      Education

required                      SOFT

- 1     Did not graduate from high school
- 2     High school graduate
- 3     Some college, but no degree (yet)
- 4     2-year college degree
- 5     4-year college degree
- 6     Postgraduate degree (MA, MBA, MD, JD, PhD, etc.)
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_race

---

**race-**  
**Show if**  
**0/prompt**  
**once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What racial or ethnic group best describes you?

---

pii                              False

varlabel                      Race

tags                            core, Profile\_Codebook, GlobalVars\_7, equivalent\_spd\_race, profile\_core, GV\_US, cbs, Profile\_Codebook\_Demographics, US\_GV\_GL\_Mini\_LocalDemos, US\_GV\_Survey\_1, profile,

election2014, Profile\_Codebook\_Core, coresampling, Profile\_Basic\_Info, used\_in\_US\_Omni\_Survey\_Template, nytimes, scripting\_template

required SOFT

- 1  White
- 2  Black or African-American
- 3  Hispanic or Latino
- 4  Asian or Asian-American
- 5  Native American
- 8  Middle Eastern
- 6  Two or more races
- 7  Other (open [race\_other])
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_ethnicity

**ethnicity-  
prompt  
once on  
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

With which race or ethnicity do you most identify?

varlabel Race/Ethnicity

required SOFT

order randomize

- 1  White
- 2  Black or African American
- 3  American Indian or Alaska Native
- 4  Asian/Pacific Islander
- 5  Multi-racial
- 6  Hispanic/Latino/Chicano/a
- 7  Other
- 98 *Skipped*
- 99 *Not Asked*

*Not randomized*



## Page: implicit\_page\_hispanic

---

**hispanic- Show if  
not  
pdl.hispanic/prompt  
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Are you of Spanish, Latino, or Hispanic origin or descent?

---

- pii                      False
- varlabel                Hispanic
- tags                     hispanic, race, Profile\_Basic\_Info
- required                SOFT
- 1     Yes
- 2     No
- 8        *Skipped*
- 9        *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_polinterest

---

**polinterest-  
prompt  
once on  
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Generally, how interested are you in politics?

---

- varlabel                Level of interest in politics
- required                SOFT
- 1     Extremely interested
- 2     Very interested
- 3     Somewhat interested
- 4     Not very interested
- 5     Not at all interested
- 8        *Skipped*
- 9        *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_attention\_check2\_grid

**attention\_check2\_grid-**  
***prompt once on skip***

GRID

Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement below.

roworder                      randomize

collapse\_expanded        True

varlabel                      Attention check 2 grid

required                      SOFT

ROWS

attention\_check2\_a- *prompt once on skip*        People convicted of murder should be given the death penalty

attention\_check2\_b- *prompt once on skip*        World War I came after World War II

attention\_check2\_c- *prompt once on skip*        Gays and lesbians should have the right to legally marry

attention\_check2\_d- *prompt once on skip*        In order to reduce the budget deficit, the federal government should raise taxes on people that make more than \$250,000 per year

attention\_check2\_e- *prompt once on skip*        The Affordable Care Act passed by Congress in 2010 should be repealed

COLUMNS

- 1     Strongly agree
- 2     Somewhat agree
- 3     Neither agree nor disagree
- 4     Somewhat disagree
- 5     Strongly disagree
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit\_page\_approve\_Trump\_second\_term

---

**approve\_Trump\_second\_term-  
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you approve or disapprove of the way **Donald Trump** is handling his job as President right now in **his second term in office?**

---

varlabel Approval of Trump during second term as US President

required SOFT

- 1  Strongly approve
- 2  Somewhat approve
- 3  Somewhat disapprove
- 4  Strongly disapprove
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page:

implicit\_page\_approve\_Trump\_previous\_term

---

**approve\_Trump\_previous\_term-  
prompt once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you approve or disapprove of the way **Donald Trump** handled his job as President during his **previous term in office?**

---

varlabel Approval of Trump during previous term as US President

required SOFT

- 1  Strongly approve
- 2  Somewhat approve
- 3  Somewhat disapprove
- 4  Strongly disapprove
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_approve\_Biden

---

**approve\_Biden-  
prompt once on  
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you approve or disapprove of the way **Joe Biden** handled his job as President?

---

varlabel Approval of Biden as US President

required SOFT

- 1  Strongly approve
- 2  Somewhat approve
- 3  Somewhat disapprove
- 4  Strongly disapprove
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_more\_supporter

---

**more\_supporter-  
Show if  
republican\_flag  
== 1/prompt  
once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Do you consider yourself to be more of a supporter of Donald Trump or more of a supporter of the Republican Party?

---

varlabel More of a supporter of Donald Trump or Republican Party

required SOFT

- 1  Supporter of Donald Trump
- 2  Supporter of the Republican Party
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

### Page: implicit\_page\_turnout24post

---

**turnout24post-**  
**Show if**  
**0/prompt once**  
**on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Did you vote in the November 2024 general election?

---

varlabel                    2024 Turnout

required                    SOFT

1     Yes

2     No

8    *Skipped*

9    *Not Asked*

### Page: implicit\_page\_no\_vote\_reason

---

**no\_vote\_reason-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you?

---

varlabel                    Reason for not voting

required                    SOFT

1     I did not vote in the election this  
November

2     I thought about voting this time, but  
didn't

3     I usually vote, but didn't this time

4     I am sure I voted

8    *Skipped*

9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_presvote24post

---

**presvote24post-**  
**Show if**  
**0/prompt once**  
**on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Who did you vote for in the election for President in 2024?

---

varlabel                    2024 President Vote Post Election

required                    SOFT

- 1    Kamala Harris
- 2    Donald Trump
- 3    Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.
- 4    Jill Stein
- 5    Cornel West
- 6    Chase Oliver
- 8    Other (open [presvote24post\_t])
- 9    Did not vote for President
- 98   *Skipped*
- 99   *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_who\_vote\_for

**who\_vote\_for-**  
**Show if**  
**no\_vote\_reason**  
**in [4]/prompt**  
**once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Who did you vote for?

- |          |  |                       |
|----------|--|-----------------------|
| varlabel | Vote choice  |                       |
| required | SOFT   |                       |
| order    | reverse(\$pres_rev)  |                       |
| 1        | <input type="radio"/> Kamala Harris (Democratic Party)               |                       |
| 2        | <input type="radio"/> Donald Trump (Republican Party)                |                       |
| 3        | <input type="radio"/> Jill Stein (Green Party)                       | <i>Not randomized</i> |
| 4        | <input type="radio"/> Chase Oliver (Libertarian Party)               | <i>Not randomized</i> |
| 5        | <input type="radio"/> Other candidate (open<br>[who_vote_for_other]) | <i>Not randomized</i> |
| 8        | <i>Skipped</i>   |                       |
| 9        | <i>Not Asked</i>   |                       |

Page: implicit\_page\_Trump\_crime

**Trump\_crime-**  
**prompt once**  
**once on skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

In thinking about President Trump's **efforts to change the result of the 2020 presidential election**, do you think he committed a crime, or not?

- |          |                                  |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| varlabel | Trump committed crime or not     |
| required | SOFT                             |
| 1        | <input type="radio"/> Yes        |
| 2        | <input type="radio"/> No         |
| 3        | <input type="radio"/> Don't know |
| 8        | <i>Skipped</i>                   |
| 9        | <i>Not Asked</i>                 |

Page: implicit\_page\_Trump\_Jan\_2021

**Trump\_Jan\_2021-**  
*prompt once on skip*

SINGLE CHOICE

In thinking about President Trump's **role in the events of January 6, 2021**, do you think he committed a crime, or not?

varlabel Trump committed crime or not on Jan 6, 2021

required SOFT

- 1  Yes
- 2  No
- 3  Don't know
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

end module: implicit\_module\_2

Module: violenceA\_mod if  
viol\_support\_treatment==1

Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_1

**violA\_outcome\_1-**  
*prompt once on skip*

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever OK for \$OwnParty\_pl to send threatening and intimidating messages to \$OppParty leaders or is it never OK?

varlabel Own party send threatning messages to opp party

required SOFT

- 1  Yes, it is at least sometimes OK for \$OwnParty\_pl to send threatening and intimidating messages to \$OppParty leaders
- 2  No, it is never OK for \$OwnParty\_pl to send threatening and intimidating messages to \$OppParty leaders
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*



## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_1\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_1\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_1 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How often is it OK for \$OwnParty\_pl to send threatening and intimidating messages to \$OppParty leaders?

varlabel Own party send threatning messages to opp party frequency

required SOFT

- 1  Never
- 2  Occasionally
- 3  Frequently
- 4  Always
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_2

**violA\_outcome\_2-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever OK for an ordinary \$OwnParty in the public to harass an ordinary \$OppParty on the Internet in a way that makes the target feel frightened or is it never OK?

varlabel Own party to harass opp. party on internet

required SOFT

- 1  Yes, it is at least sometimes OK for an ordinary \$OwnParty in the public to harass an ordinary \$OppParty on the Internet in a way that makes the target feel frightened
- 2  No, it is never OK for an ordinary \$OwnParty in the public to harass an ordinary \$OppParty on the Internet in a way that makes the target feel frightened
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_2\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_2\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_2 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How often is it OK for an ordinary \$OwnParty in the public to harass an ordinary \$OppParty on the Internet, in a way that makes the target feel frightened?

varlabel Own party to harass opp. party on internet frequency

required SOFT

- 1  Never
- 2  Occasionally
- 3  Frequently
- 4  Always
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_3

**violA\_outcome\_3-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent misdemeanors (for example, trespassing during a protest) in advancing their political goals these days or is it never justified?

varlabel Own party commit non-violent misdemeanors

required SOFT

- 1  Yes, it is at least sometimes justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent misdemeanors in advancing their political goals these days
- 2  No, it is never justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent misdemeanors in advancing their political goals these days
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_3\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_3\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_3 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent misdemeanors (for example, trespassing during a protest) in advancing their political goals these days?

varlabel                      OOwn party commit non-violent misdemeanors justified

required                      SOFT

- 1     Not at all
- 2     A little
- 3     A moderate amount
- 4     A lot
- 5     A great deal
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_4

**violA\_outcome\_4-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent felonies (for example, causing significant property damage during a protest) in advancing their political goals these days or is it never justified?

varlabel                      Own party commit non-violent felonies

required                      SOFT

- 1     Yes, it is at least sometimes justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent felonies in advancing their political goals these days
- 2     No, it is never justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent felonies in advancing their political goals these days
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_4\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_4\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_4 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit non-violent felonies (for example, causing significant property damage during a protest) in advancing their political goals these days?

varlabel Own party commit non-violent felonies justified

required SOFT

- 1  Not at all
- 2  A little
- 3  A moderate amount
- 4  A lot
- 5  A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_5

**violA\_outcome\_5-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit violent felonies (for example, assault during a confrontation with counter-protestors) in advancing their political goals these days or is it never justified?

varlabel Own party commit violent felonies

required SOFT

- 1  Yes, it is at least sometimes justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit violent felonies in advancing their political goals these days
- 2  No, it is never justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit violent felonies in advancing their political goals these days
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_5\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_5\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_5 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified for \$OwnParty\_pl to commit violent felonies (for example, assault during a confrontation with counter-protestors) in advancing their political goals these days?

varlabel                      Own party commit violent felonies justified

required                      SOFT

- 1     Not at all
- 2     A little
- 3     A moderate amount
- 4     A lot
- 5     A great deal
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_6

**violA\_outcome\_6-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if a \$OppParty elected official enacts harmful or exploitative policies? Would violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) be justified then or is it never justified?

varlabel                      Violence towards opp. party's harmful policies

required                      SOFT

- 1     Yes, violence would be justified if a \$OppParty elected official enacts harmful or exploitative policies
- 2     No, violence would not be justified if a \$OppParty elected official enacts harmful or exploitative policies
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_6\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_6\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_6 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified to use violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) if a \$OppParty elected official enacts harmful or exploitative policies?

varlabel                      Violence towards opp. party's harmful policie justified

required                      SOFT

- 1     Not at all
- 2     A little
- 3     A moderate amount
- 4     A lot
- 5     A great deal
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_7

**violA\_outcome\_7-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if a \$OppParty elected official utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision?  
 Would violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) be justified then or is it never justified?

varlabel                      Violence towards opp. party's use of wealth in election

required                      SOFT

- 1     Yes, violence would be justified if a \$OppParty elected official utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision
- 2     No, violence would not be justified if a \$OppParty elected official utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_7\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_7\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_7 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified to use violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) if a \$OppParty elected official utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision?

varlabel Violence towards opp. party's use of wealth in election justified

required SOFT

- 1  Not at all
- 2  A little
- 3  A moderate amount
- 4  A lot
- 5  A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_8

**violA\_outcome\_8-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if a \$OppParty elected official engages in financial crimes or corruption? Would violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) be justified then or is it never justified?

varlabel Violence towards opp. party's financial crimes/corruption

required SOFT

- 1  Yes, violence would be justified if a \$OppParty elected official engages in financial crimes or corruption
- 2  No, violence would not be justified if a \$OppParty elected official engages in financial crimes or corruption
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_violA\_outcome\_8\_yes

**violA\_outcome\_8\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violA\_outcome\_8 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified to use violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) if a \$OppParty elected official engages in financial crimes or corruption?

varlabel                      Violence towards opp. party's financial crimes/corruption justified

required                      SOFT

- 1     Not at all
- 2     A little
- 3     A moderate amount
- 4     A lot
- 5     A great deal
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

end module: violenceA\_mod if viol\_support\_treatment==1

Module: **violenceB\_mod** if  
**viol\_support\_treatment==2**

---



## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_1

**violB\_outcome\_1-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever OK for ordinary people to send threatening and intimidating messages to a CEO of a large corporation or is it never OK?

varlabel Ordinary person send threatening messages to CEO

required SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>  Yes, it is at least sometimes OK for ordinary people to send threatening and intimidating messages to a CEO of a large corporation
- <sup>2</sup>  No, it is never OK for ordinary people to send threatening and intimidating messages to a CEO of a large corporation
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_1\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_1\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_1 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How often is it OK for ordinary people to send threatening and intimidating messages to a CEO of a large corporation?

varlabel Ordinary person send threatening messages to CEO frequency

required SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>  Never
- <sup>2</sup>  Occasionally
- <sup>3</sup>  Frequently
- <sup>4</sup>  Always
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_2

**violB\_outcome\_2-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever OK for an ordinary person in the public to harass a CEO of a large corporation on the Internet in a way that makes the target feel frightened or it is never OK?

varlabel Ordinary person harass CEO on internet

required SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>  Yes, it is at least sometimes OK for an ordinary person in the public to harass a CEO of a large corporation on the Internet in a way that makes the target feel frightened
- <sup>2</sup>  No, it is never OK for an ordinary person in the public to harass a CEO of a large corporation on the Internet in a way that makes the target feel frightened
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_2\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_2\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_2 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How often is it OK for an ordinary person in the public to harass a CEO of a large corporation on the Internet, in a way that makes the target feel frightened?

varlabel Ordinary person harass CEO on internet frequency

required SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>  Never
- <sup>2</sup>  Occasionally
- <sup>3</sup>  Frequently
- <sup>4</sup>  Always
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_3

---

**violB\_outcome\_3-**  
***prompt once on***  
***skip***

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent misdemeanors (for example, trespassing during a protest) in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days or is it never justified?

---

varlabel                      Ordinary person commit non-violent misdemeanor

required                      SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>     Yes, it is at least sometimes justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent misdemeanors in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days
- <sup>2</sup>     No, it is never justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent misdemeanors in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days
- <sup>8</sup>    *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup>    *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_3\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_3\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_3 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent misdemeanors (for example, trespassing during a protest) in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days?

varlabel                      Ordinary person commit non-violent misdemeanor justified

required                      SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>     Not at all
- <sup>2</sup>     A little
- <sup>3</sup>     A moderate amount
- <sup>4</sup>     A lot
- <sup>5</sup>     A great deal
- <sup>8</sup>    *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup>    *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_4

---

**violB\_outcome\_4-**  
***prompt once on***  
***skip***

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent felonies (for example, causing significant property damage during a protest) in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days or is it never justified?

---

varlabel                      Ordinary person commit non-violent felonies

required                      SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>     Yes, it is at least sometimes justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent felonies in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days
- <sup>2</sup>     No, it is never justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent felonies in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days
- <sup>8</sup>    *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup>    *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_4\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_4\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_4 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified for an ordinary person to commit non-violent felonies (for example, causing significant property damage during a protest) in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days?

varlabel                      Ordinary person commit non-violent felonies justified

required                      SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>     Not at all
- <sup>2</sup>     A little
- <sup>3</sup>     A moderate amount
- <sup>4</sup>     A lot
- <sup>5</sup>     A great deal
- <sup>8</sup>    *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup>    *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_5

---

**violB\_outcome\_5-**  
***prompt once on***  
***skip***

SINGLE CHOICE

Is it ever justified for an ordinary person to commit violent felonies (for example, assault during a confrontation with counter-protestors) in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days or is it never justified?

---

varlabel                      Ordinary person commit violent felonies

required                      SOFT

- 1     Yes, it is at least sometimes justified for an ordinary person to commit violent felonies in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days
- 2     No, it is never justified for an ordinary person to commit violent felonies in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_5\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_5\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_5 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified for an ordinary person to commit violent felonies (for example, assault during a confrontation with counter-protestors) in advancing economic justice or labor rights these days?

varlabel Ordinary person commit violent felonies justified

required SOFT

- 1  Not at all
- 2  A little
- 3  A moderate amount
- 4  A lot
- 5  A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_6

**violB\_outcome\_6-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if a CEO of a large corporation engages in harmful or exploitative practices? Would violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) be justified then or is it never justified?

varlabel Violence towards CEO'S harmful policies

required SOFT

- 1  Yes, violence would be justified if a CEO of a large corporation engages in harmful or exploitative practices
- 2  No, violence would not be justified if a CEO of a large corporation engages in harmful or exploitative practices
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*



## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_6\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_6\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_6 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified to use violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) if a CEO of a large corporation engages in harmful or exploitative practices?

varlabel Violence justified towards CEO'S harmful policies

required SOFT

- 1  Not at all
- 2  A little
- 3  A moderate amount
- 4  A lot
- 5  A great deal
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_7

**violB\_outcome\_7-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if a CEO of a large corporation utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision? Would violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) be justified then or is it never justified?

varlabel Violence towards CEO's use of wealth in election

required SOFT

- 1  Yes, violence would be justified if a CEO of a large corporation utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision
- 2  No, violence would not be justified if a CEO of a large corporation utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_7\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_7\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_7 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified to use violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) if a CEO of a large corporation utilizes his/her wealth to influence an election or political decision?

varlabel                      Violence justified towards CEO's use of wealth in election

required                      SOFT

- 1     Not at all
- 2     A little
- 3     A moderate amount
- 4     A lot
- 5     A great deal
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_8

**violB\_outcome\_8-**  
**prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

What if a CEO of a large corporation engages in financial crimes or corruption? Would violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) be justified then or is it never justified?

varlabel                      Violence towards CEO's financial crimes/corruption

required                      SOFT

- 1     Yes, violence would be justified if a CEO of a large corporation engages in financial crimes or corruption
- 2     No, violence would not be justified if a CEO of a large corporation engages in financial crimes or corruption
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_violB\_outcome\_8\_yes

**violB\_outcome\_8\_yes-**  
**Show if**  
**violB\_outcome\_8 in**  
**[1]/prompt once on**  
**skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

How much is it justified to use violence (the use of physical force to harm someone) if a CEO of a large corporation engages in financial crimes or corruption?

varlabel                      Violence justified towards CEO's financial crimes/corruption

required                      SOFT

- 1     Not at all
- 2     A little
- 3     A moderate amount
- 4     A lot
- 5     A great deal
- 8    *Skipped*
- 9    *Not Asked*

end module: violenceB\_mod if viol\_support\_treatment==2

Module: implicit\_module\_3

Page: implicit\_page\_biden\_actions\_grid

**biden\_actions\_grid-**  
***prompt once on***  
***skip***

GRID

Please indicate whether you approve or disapprove of the following actions that Joe Biden took before ending his term as president.

header\_alignment bottom  
 roworder randomize  
 collapse\_expanded True  
 varlabel Approval of Biden's actions before ending term  
 required SOFT

ROWS

biden\_actions\_1- *prompt once on skip* Joe Biden grants a "full and unconditional" pardon of his son Hunter Biden, absolving him of existing federal charges and shielding him from future prosecution for federal crimes.

biden\_actions\_2- *prompt once on skip* Joe Biden grants a preemptive pardon to five members of his family besides Hunter Biden, shielding them from future prosecution for federal crimes.

biden\_actions\_3- *prompt once on skip* Joe Biden grants a preemptive pardon to Dr. Anthony Fauci, retired Gen. Mark Milley, and members of the House of Representatives committee that investigated the Jan. 6, 2021 attack on the Capitol, shielding them from future prosecution for federal crimes.

biden\_actions\_4- *prompt once on skip* Joe Biden states that the Equal Rights Amendment to the U.S. Constitution should be considered legally part of the Constitution.

COLUMNS

- <sup>1</sup>  Strongly approve
- <sup>2</sup>  Somewhat approve
- <sup>3</sup>  Somewhat disapprove
- <sup>4</sup>  Strongly disapprove
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

Page: implicit\_page\_trump\_actions\_grid

**trump\_actions\_grid-**  
***prompt once on skip*** GRID

Please indicate whether you approve or disapprove of the following actions that Donald Trump has taken since taking office again as president.

---

header\_alignment      bottom  
 roworder                randomize  
 collapse\_expanded    True  
 varlabel                Approval of Trump's actions since re-election  
 required                SOFT

ROWS

- trump\_actions\_1- *prompt once on skip*      Donald Trump pardons nearly all individuals charged in connection with the Jan. 6 Capitol attack, including violent offenders.
  
- trump\_actions\_2- *prompt once on skip*      Donald Trump issues an executive order seeking to deny citizenship to people born in the U.S. whose parents are not lawful permanent residents.
  
- trump\_actions\_3- *prompt once on skip*      Donald Trump seeks to use the Alien Enemies Act to deport foreign gang members.
  
- trump\_actions\_4- *prompt once on skip*      Donald Trump declares a national emergency at the U.S.–Mexico border, citing threats from cartels, criminal gangs, and other illicit activities.
  
- trump\_actions\_5- *prompt once on skip*      Donald Trump delays enforcement of the so-called TikTok ban after taking office.
  
- trump\_actions\_6- *prompt once on skip*      Donald Trump revokes Joe Biden's executive order on addressing risks from artificial intelligence (AI).
  
- trump\_actions\_7- *prompt once on skip*      The Trump administration fires Department of Justice officials who worked on criminal investigations of Trump's actions as president.
  
- trump\_actions\_8- *prompt once on skip*      The White House budget office orders a pause to all grants and loans disbursed by the federal government.
  
- trump\_actions\_9- *prompt once on skip*      The Trump administration withdraws security protections for high-profile figures, such as Dr. Anthony Fauci, Gen. Mark Milley, and John Bolton, who have publicly clashed with Trump and face credible threats to their safety.
  
- trump\_actions\_10- *prompt once on skip*      Donald Trump orders the construction of a facility for holding as many as 30,000 migrants at the naval base in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

COLUMNS

- 1  Strongly approve
- 2  Somewhat approve
- 3  Somewhat disapprove
- 4  Strongly disapprove
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_political\_systems\_grid

### political\_systems\_grid- prompt once on skip

GRID

Various types of political systems are described below. Please think about each choice in terms of governing this country and indicate if you think it would be a very good, fairly good, fairly bad, or very bad way of governing the United States.

roworder                      randomize  
collapse\_expanded        True  
varlabel                      Opinions on Political Systems for Governing the U.S.  
required                      SOFT

#### ROWS

systems\_1- *prompt once on skip* \$systems\_1\_text

systems\_2- *prompt once on skip* Having experts, not government, make decisions according to what they think is best for the country

systems\_4- *prompt once on skip* Having a democratic political system

#### COLUMNS

- 1  Very good
- 2  Fairly good
- 3  Fairly bad
- 4  Very bad
- 8 *Skipped*
- 9 *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_validity

---

**validity-  
prompt  
once on  
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

It is essential for the validity of this study that we know whether participants looked up any information online during the study. Did you make an effort to look up information during the study? Please be honest; you will still be paid and you will not be penalized in any way if you did.

---

varlabel                      Looked up information

required                      SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>  Yes, I looked up information
- <sup>2</sup>  No, I did not look up information
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

## Page: implicit\_page\_insincere

---

**insincere-  
prompt  
once on  
skip**

SINGLE CHOICE

We sometimes find people don't always take surveys seriously, instead providing humorous or insincere responses to questions. How often do you do this?

---

varlabel                      How often give insincere responses

required                      SOFT

- <sup>1</sup>  Never
- <sup>2</sup>  Rarely
- <sup>3</sup>  Some of the time
- <sup>4</sup>  Most of the time
- <sup>5</sup>  Always
- <sup>8</sup> *Skipped*
- <sup>9</sup> *Not Asked*

## Page: survey\_comments\_paste\_detect\_pg

---

```
<script> ready(function() { $(":text").on('paste', function() {   setTimeout(function() {
$('input[type="text"]').eq(1).val('1');   }, 50);  }); $("textarea").on('paste', function() {
setTimeout(function() {   $('input[type="text"]').eq(0).val('1');   }, 50);  }); }); </script>
```

### survey\_comments

OPEN TEXTBOX

Do you have any comments on the survey? Please let us know about any problems you had or aspects of the survey that were confusing.

---

```
cols                80
varlabel            Survey comments
required           NONE
<div style="display:none !important">
```

### survey\_comments\_paste\_detected

OPEN TEXTBOX

```
&nbsp;
```

---

```
varlabel            Survey comments - Paste detected
required           NONE
</div>
```

## Page: thankyou\_pg

---

<p>Thank you for answering these questions. This research is not intended to support or oppose any political candidate or office. The research has no affiliation with any political candidate or campaign and has received no financial support from any political candidate or campaign.</p> <p>Should you have any questions about this study, please contact Brendan Nyhan at <a href="mailto:nyhan@dartmouth.edu">nyhan@dartmouth.edu</a>.<p></p> Please click the next button to complete the survey.</p>

## Page: implicit\_page\_8

---

```
end module: implicit_module_3
```

---